SCHEDULING CONFERENCE FORM LITIGATION PLAN/ALTERNATE DISPUTE RESOLUTION OPTIONS

This form may assist you in submitting a proposed scheduling time-frame for your case. Plaintiff's counsel should contact all parties and discuss the Litigation Plan, and should the parties stipulate to the dates proposed, only ONE Plan needs to be returned to the Court.

This Scheduling Conference/Litigation form is to be filled out and filed with the Court no later than one week prior to the scheduling conference.

one week prior to the scheduling conference.
CASE #: 13-cv-00482-CWD NATURE OF SUIT: Challenge to ID Const. & statut
CASE NAME: Susan Latta, et. al., v. C.L. "Butch" Otter & Christopher Rich
PARTY SUBMITTING PLAN: Defendant Christopher Rich
[] Plan has been stipulated to by all parties
[] Plan has not been stipulated to, but is submitted by:
ATTORNEY / FIRM: W. Scott Zanzig and Clay R. Smith, Deputy Att'ys General
REPRESENTING: Defendant Christopher Rich
I. TRIAL TRACK : Indicate the track that best fits your case. (Designation of a track is not binding but will assist the Court in assessing its workload and selecting a trial date and discovery schedule that meets counsel's needs.)
[] Expedited Track (Typically, cases on this track will get a trial date in 6 to 9 months; take 4 days or less to try; involve limited discovery; and have no, or limited expert testimony.)
[] Standard Track (Typically, cases on this track will get a trial date in 12 months; take about 5-10 days to try; and have about one or two experts per side.)
[] Complex Track (Typically, cases on this track will get a trial date in 18-24 months; take 10 days or more to try; involve extensive discovery with staggered discovery schedules; and have extensive expert testimony.)
[A] Legal Track (Cases on this track involve legal issues which are likely to be resolved by motion rather than trial. A motion hearing will be set, but no trial date will be set until it is clear that the case cannot be resolved by motion.)
II. DISCOVERY PLAN (Judge Dale requires the parties to follow Rule $26(f)(2)$ and to meet and confer for the purposes of outlining and/or agreeing to a discovery plan, including issues related to discovery of electronically stored information, if either party contemplates such discovery, for discussion with the Court at the time of the scheduling conference.)

A. INITIAL DISCLOSURES TO BE EXCHANGED: See Attachment

B. NUMBER AND LENGTH OF DEPOSITIONS (Local Rule 30.1 requires the parties' agreement or

authorization by the Court if either party requests more than 10 depositions or to spend more than 7 hours conducting any deposition.)
Plaintiff(s):
Defendant(s): Depositions are not anticipated, reserving right to depose if necessary
III. LITIGATION PLAN (Judge Dale prefers that parties anticipate the amount of time needed to complete discovery, set deadlines for discovery and for filing dispositive motions.)
A. JOINDER OF PARTIES & AMENDMENT OF PLEADINGS CUT-OFF DATE: January 31, 2014
B. FACTUAL DISCOVERY CUT-OFF DATE: See Attachment
C. EXPERT TESTIMONY DISCLOSURES: (Local Rule 26.2 (b))
Plaintiff to identify and disclose expert reports by: See Attachment
Defendant to identify and disclose expert reports by: See Attachment
Plaintiff to identify and disclose rebuttal expert reports, if any, by: See Attachment
ALL discovery relevant to experts shall be completed by: See Attachment
D. DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS FILING CUT-OFF DATE: See Attachment
E. TRIAL DATE – Generally, a trial date will not be set until either completion of dispositive motions or unsuccessful ADR. At that time, a status conference will be held and a trial date will be set. This will be a first setting and is generally 60-120 days from the date of the status conference.
IV. ALTERNATE DISPUTE RESOLUTION OPTIONS - Pursuant to Local Rule 16.1 and 16.4, the parties must meet and confer about (1) whether they might benefit from participating in some form of ADR process; (2) which type of ADR process is best suited to the specific circumstances in their case; and (3) when the most appropriate time would be for the ADR session to be held.
Check Preference:
 MEDIATION (General Order No. 130) (Local Rule 16.4(b)(2)) SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE (Local Rule 16.4(b)(1)) ARBITRATION (General Order No. 92) (Local Rule 16.4(b)(3)) VOLUNTARY CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE (Local Rule 16.1(A)). ✓ OTHER ADR not requested at this time
ADR to be held by:
Regardless of whether the parties choose mediation, a judicially-supervised settlement conference,

Regardless of whether the parties choose mediation, a judicially-supervised settlement conference, arbitration, or some other form of ADR, the court strongly encourages the attorneys to schedule ADR early in the proceedings and in advance of the filing of dispositive motions so as to reduce the cost of litigation for their clients.

Attachment to Scheduling Conference Form

Defendant Christopher Rich has filed a Rule 12(b)(6) motion to dismiss plaintiffs' claims. At the January 6, 2014 conference of counsel, Mr. Rich's counsel suggested that the case could be resolved most efficiently if the Court ruled on the motion to dismiss before the parties engaged in expert or other discovery, and before filing cross-motions for summary judgment. If the Court grants the motion to dismiss, it will resolve all claims. If any claims survive the motion to dismiss, the parties will have the benefit of the Court's analysis to focus their efforts in resolving any remaining claims. Accordingly, defendant Rich proposes the following schedule:

- II.A <u>Initial Disclosures to Be Exchanged:</u> Within 14 days of disposition of defendant Rich's motion to dismiss
- III.B <u>Factual Discovery Cut-Off Date:</u> 75 days after disposition of defendant Rich's motion to dismiss
- III.C Expert Testimony Disclosures:

Plaintiff to identify and disclose expert reports by 30 days after factual discovery cut-off

Defendant to identify and disclose expert reports by 30 days after plaintiffs' expert disclosures

Plaintiff to identify and disclose rebuttal expert reports, if any, by 15 days after defendants' disclosures

III.D <u>Dispositive Motions Filing Cut-Off Date:</u> 30 days after expert testimony disclosures completed