

.:2-06-06am.: <@StarNeptune> I BID YOU ALL DARK GREETINGS?  
.:2-06-10am.: <Darth\_Cow> lol  
.:2-06-13am.: \* Jaymach changes topic to 'Wookieepedia - the Star Wars Wiki (http://www.wookieepedia.com) - Mofference Started'  
.:2-06-15am.: <@Jaymach> there we go :)  
.:2-06-25am.: <@jSarek> Now, SN. ;-)  
.:2-06-25am.: <+JustinGann> someone space StarNeptune out the airlock  
.:2-06-30am.: <+JustinGann> ;)  
.:2-06-33am.: <Darth\_Cow> lol  
.:2-06-34am.: <@StarNeptune> lol  
.:2-06-35am.: <@Jaymach> we always start by saying that :P  
.:2-06-41am.: <Darth\_Cow> wow  
.:2-06-43am.: <Darth\_Cow> lol  
.:2-06-44am.: <whop> :)  
.:2-06-46am.: <+JustinGann> tradition is no excuse  
.:2-06-57am.: <KeiranHalcyon> its a family tradition ya know  
.:2-06-58am.: <Darth\_Cow> \*hem\*  
.:2-07-01am.: <@SillyDan> so, first item on the agenda: Vandalism and banning standards?  
.:2-07-02am.: <whop> lol  
.:2-07-03am.: <@Jaymach> but the first subject on the agenda is a unified response to vandalism, as well as establishing a blocking policy  
.:2-07-03am.: \* Joins: Sku (n=45dc7c76@box6.tpa.wikia-inc.com)  
.:2-07-05am.: <whop> ok  
.:2-07-06am.: <@jSarek> You came to a Mofference, what were you expecting? ;-)  
.:2-07-09am.: <+RMF|> yes, I believe so.  
.:2-07-13am.: \* Joins: Skywaker (n=starr14@adsl-69-220-124-118.dsl.chcgil.ameritech.net)  
.:2-07-19am.: <Skywaker> Hey  
.:2-07-23am.: <whop> hello  
.:2-07-29am.: <Darth\_Cow> we waited for you  
.:2-07-32am.: <Darth\_Cow> a little  
.:2-07-35am.: <+JustinGann> do we have an agreed upon definition for vandalism?  
.:2-07-39am.: <@Jaymach> we do not  
.:2-07-41am.: \* Quits: Sku (n=45dc7c76@box6.tpa.wikia-inc.com) (Remote closed the connection)  
.:2-07-50am.: <+JustinGann> do we want a definition or do we care  
.:2-07-50am.: <@Jaymach> we have very little really  
.:2-07-51am.: <@StarNeptune> The defacing of articles in a malicious manner  
.:2-07-51am.: <+RMF|> I'd say any intentional effort to make the wiki worse  
.:2-07-52am.: <@jSarek> My opinion: Anons we need to be somewhat lenient with, because we never know if someone innocent will get screwed by rotating IPs later on.  
.:2-07-55am.: \* Joins: Wookieeperial (n=81437fb9@box6.tpa.wikia-inc.com)  
.:2-07-57am.: <@SillyDan> True  
.:2-07-59am.: <@Kuralyov> I think we all know vandalism when we see it  
.:2-08-01am.: <+RMF|> yeah  
.:2-08-05am.: <+RMF|> I dislike infinite IP bans  
.:2-08-06am.: <@Jaymach> not all the time  
.:2-08-09am.: <Darth\_Cow> vandals should be warned once, then banned  
.:2-08-12am.: <@jSarek> RMF: Exactly.

.:2-08-15am:. <whop> yes  
.:2-08-18am:. <Skywaker> Is this the Wookieepedia IRC meeting or is that tomorrow?  
.:2-08-24am:. <@Jaymach> infinite IP bans should, IMO, only be used for "on  
Wheels", "is Communism" etc vandals  
.:2-08-25am:. <@jSarek> This is it.  
.:2-08-26am:. <Darth\_Cow> today  
.:2-08-26am:. <@SillyDan> Yes, this is the meeting  
.:2-08-27am:. <whop> its the meeting  
.:2-08-32am:. <+JustinGann> well heres a thought  
.:2-08-33am:. <+RMF|> I think we should have bans build upon each other  
.:2-08-41am:. <Darth\_Cow> uh...no  
.:2-08-41am:. <@jSarek> Jay: Even those might rotate to innocents.  
.:2-08-41am:. <@StarNeptune> I hate one day bans  
.:2-08-42am:. <@SillyDan> Those are infinite username bans, though  
.:2-08-43am:. <+RMF|> i.e. first instance of vandalism = 24 hr ban  
.:2-08-43am:. <+JustinGann> should we make it so only people with accounts can edit  
.:2-08-44am:. <Skywaker> all right I made it. Thanks  
.:2-08-47am:. <whop> yes  
.:2-08-50am:. <Wookieeperial> Hmm?  
.:2-08-52am:. <@StarNeptune> Unless it's for something really minor  
.:2-08-52am:. <+LtNOWIS> I think blatantly malicious bans shouldn't get warnings.  
.:2-09-00am:. <+RMF|> infinite username bans are diff. than infinite IP bans  
.:2-09-01am:. <Darth\_Cow> yeah  
.:2-09-08am:. <@SillyDan> JustinGann: it's been discussed, but I don't think we even  
could  
.:2-09-12am:. <+JustinGann> ok  
.:2-09-14am:. <@Jaymach> we could  
.:2-09-17am:. <@Jaymach> but I don't believe we should  
.:2-09-23am:. <Darth\_Cow> i do  
.:2-09-24am:. <+JustinGann> ok  
.:2-09-27am:. <Wookieeperial> Hey?  
.:2-09-30am:. <KeiranHalcyon> i agree, non username'd people shouldnt be able to  
mdifyy..  
.:2-09-34am:. <whop> if they add bad content they shouldnt be warned, the literally  
did it, so they should be bannel  
.:2-09-37am:. <whop> banned  
.:2-09-38am:. <@jSarek> Greetings, Wookieeperial.  
.:2-09-39am:. <@Jaymach> hey 'perial  
.:2-09-40am:. <+RMF|> the progression would be 24 hr --> 1 week --> 1 month --> 3  
months  
.:2-09-41am:. <Darth\_Cow> i know  
.:2-09-46am:. <+LtNOWIS> I think one of the main Star Wars guys at Wikipedia got  
infinitely banned, but than just started a new account.  
.:2-09-47am:. <@Kuralyov> Ditto KH and LN  
.:2-09-52am:. <Darth\_Cow> yeah  
.:2-09-54am:. <Skywaker> infinite IP bans causes the member to never again can join.  
Unless they change there IP  
.:2-09-54am:. <@Jaymach> I believe we should, really, establish different levels of  
vandalism  
.:2-10-04am:. <whop> good idea

.:2-10-06am.: <+RMF|> but IPs are rarely static  
.:2-10-07am.: <Darth\_Cow> I think it's a flaw if they can just start a new account  
.:2-10-11am.: <+JustinGann> is fanon considered vandalism  
.:2-10-17am.: <@Jaymach> yes and no  
.:2-10-17am.: <Darth\_Cow> no  
.:2-10-19am.: <@jSarek> No.  
.:2-10-20am.: <@SillyDan> No, fanon's just confusion  
.:2-10-21am.: <Darth\_Cow> juat bad  
.:2-10-23am.: <whop> nope  
.:2-10-24am.: <+RMF|> it depends  
.:2-10-29am.: <Darth\_Cow> if it's bad  
.:2-10-29am.: <@Jaymach> it can be vandalism if it's repeated  
.:2-10-30am.: <Skywaker> IPs can be change through via Proxies or your ISP  
.:2-10-31am.: <+RMF|> intentional fanon, yes  
.:2-10-33am.: <@SillyDan> repeatedly posting fanon after warnings may be vandalism  
.:2-10-33am.: <@Kuralyov> Repeated fanon, yes  
.:2-10-43am.: <@Jaymach> but posting fanon in one instance is not vandalism  
.:2-10-49am.: <+LtNOWIS> The first time, it might be an honest mistake.  
.:2-10-53am.: <Darth\_Cow> yeah  
.:2-10-55am.: <@Jaymach> if they get warned about it and continue to do so, however, then it is vandalism  
.:2-10-55am.: <+RMF|> yes; assume good faith  
.:2-10-56am.: <Darth\_Cow> really  
.:2-11-00am.: <+JustinGann> well, ive mistakenly, but honestly, posted a little fanon  
.:2-11-00am.: <KeiranHalcyon> what if you state its fanon?  
.:2-11-02am.: <Darth\_Cow> yep  
.:2-11-08am.: <@StarNeptune> Fanon inserted into existing articles is vandalism, tho  
.:2-11-09am.: <Skywaker> ruining or destorying, or even posting usless topics is vandalism  
.:2-11-10am.: \* Quits: Wookieeperial (n=81437fb9@box6.tpa.wikia-inc.com)  
(Remote closed the connection)  
.:2-11-12am.: <@Jaymach> we don't accept fanon Keiran  
.:2-11-13am.: <+JustinGann> but its different when people post fanon of their own making  
.:2-11-21am.: <@jSarek> JG: So have a lot of people; honest mistakes happen.  
.:2-11-21am.: <@Jaymach> not entirely so, Star  
.:2-11-23am.: <@SillyDan> we don't want any fanon  
.:2-11-33am.: <KeiranHalcyon> ok thats why i asked thanks jaymach  
.:2-11-40am.: <@jSarek> There's another wiki for SW fanon to go in.  
.:2-11-43am.: <@Jaymach> inserting fanon into articles can be done without it meaning to be fanon  
.:2-11-45am.: <Darth\_Cow> yep  
.:2-11-52am.: <whop> uh huh  
.:2-11-52am.: <+JustinGann> what about the recent article Veronica  
.:2-11-55am.: <@Jaymach> but, again, if it's repeated then it's vandalism  
.:2-11-56am.: <Skywaker> Wookieepedia is Non-Fanon, their is a Star Wars Fanon Wiki, but it is inactive  
.:2-11-58am.: <@StarNeptune> If it's blatantly obvious it's fanon  
.:2-12-01am.: <+JustinGann> surely the poster KNEW that was fanon

.:2-12-02am:. <@StarNeptune> it's vandalism  
.:2-12-03am:. <kwafum> what if you post it as fanon to inform users that it is fanon?  
.:2-12-13am:. <Darth\_Cow> no  
.:2-12-14am:. <+JustinGann> i think we have a page for that  
.:2-12-16am:. <@SillyDan> kwafu: no  
.:2-12-19am:. <Darth\_Cow> you don't  
.:2-12-24am:. <@jSarek> kwafum: We have a redirect page for that.  
.:2-12-27am:. <+JustinGann> dont we have a page for infamous fanon  
.:2-12-27am:. <@Jaymach> the Veronica article, at first, was not vandalism...due to the creator constantly making the page, it turned into vandalism  
.:2-12-28am:. <Darth\_Cow> if it is, don't post it  
.:2-12-29am:. <whop> yes  
.:2-12-30am:. <+RMF|> from WP:BP: "For dynamic IPs, such blocks should last up to 24 hours. For static IPs, such blocks should initially last 24 hours, but repeat violators may be blocked for a maximum of one month. Logged-in users that repeatedly vandalise may also be blocked for the same time periods. Blocks should not be used against isolated incidents of vandalism."  
.:2-12-32am:. <kwafum> ah okay  
.:2-12-39am:. <Darth\_Cow> slow down people  
.:2-12-41am:. <Darth\_Cow> lol  
.:2-12-46am:. <whop> :P  
.:2-12-53am:. <+LtNOWIS> Widespread fanon can get footnote mentions at the bottom.  
.:2-12-54am:. <KeiranHalcyon> i know i cant keep up  
.:2-12-59am:. <Darth\_Cow> really  
.:2-13-02am:. <+LtNOWIS> Like "Many fans think this, but it's wrong."  
.:2-13-06am:. <+JustinGann> haha  
.:2-13-07am:. <+RMF|> I recognize we're not Wikipedia, but blocking an IP for more than several weeks is counter-productive  
.:2-13-11am:. <@Jaymach> in a Behind the scenes section, yes  
.:2-13-15am:. <@SillyDan> true  
.:2-13-16am:. <whop> lol  
.:2-13-17am:. \* Joins: Wookieeperial (n=McEwok@c185.worc.ox.ac.uk)  
.:2-13-18am:. <Skywaker> Fanon is useless, not a lot of good topics  
.:2-13-19am:. <@Jaymach> RMF: usually, yes  
.:2-13-21am:. <@jSarek> I think we can be a little less lenient with IP blocks. Certainly no infinities, but twenty four hours isn't long enough for vandals to forget about us and move on.  
.:2-13-28am:. <+JustinGann> yeah Behind the Scenes is good for fan theories  
.:2-13-32am:. <@SillyDan> we could unblock some IPs which have been given long blocks  
.:2-13-36am:. <+JustinGann> such as "Mr Purple"  
.:2-13-37am:. <Darth\_Cow> yep  
.:2-13-40am:. <Darth\_Cow> ?  
.:2-13-43am:. <Darth\_Cow> lol[  
.:2-13-46am:. <Darth\_Cow> lol  
.:2-13-53am:. <+RMF|> I know, viewing Special:IPblocklist is a depressing sight  
.:2-13-56am:. <Darth\_Cow> ...funy name  
.:2-13-58am:. <@jSarek> SD: Probably a wise decision.  
.:2-13-59am:. \* Joins: Azizlight (n=3ce58263@box6.tpa.wikia-inc.com)

.:2-14-05am:. <@Jaymach> welcome Aziz  
.:2-14-06am:. <Darth\_Cow> heay  
.:2-14-10am:. \* Jaymach sets mode: +o Azizlight  
.:2-14-12am:. <@Azizlight> howdy :-)  
.:2-14-13am:. <@jSarek> Greetings Aziz.  
.:2-14-18am:. <Skywaker> unblocking IPs mean the vandal is on the loose and can cause more damage if he/she discovers they are unbaned  
.:2-14-19am:. <+JustinGann> hi Azizlight  
.:2-14-20am:. <@Jaymach> quite a good admin turnout, really  
.:2-14-22am:. <@Azizlight> \*waves to all  
.:2-14-26am:. <Wookieeperial> Am I here?  
.:2-14-29am:. <@jSarek> Sky: Then we reban them.  
.:2-14-31am:. <@Jaymach> you are here 'perial  
.:2-14-39am:. <@jSarek> Aye, thou art, McEwok.  
.:2-14-41am:. <+RMF|> but see, one IP does not equal one user  
.:2-14-49am:. <Darth\_Cow> mofference, people  
.:2-14-53am:. <Skywaker> JSar: That too..  
.:2-14-54am:. <+LtNOWIS> sometimes IPs are at schools and such  
.:2-14-57am:. <@Jaymach> one IP does not always equal one user  
.:2-14-58am:. <whop> yes  
.:2-15-01am:. <@Jaymach> sometimes, however, it does  
.:2-15-01am:. <+LtNOWIS> My school got banned from Wikipedia.  
.:2-15-03am:. <+JustinGann> McEwok is here?  
.:2-15-06am:. <+RMF|> if we block an IP for three months, dozens of people could very well have used it  
.:2-15-09am:. <Darth\_Cow> yeah. I edit at my school  
.:2-15-10am:. <Wookieeperial> \*waves\*  
.:2-15-13am:. <@jSarek> JG: Wookieeperial = McEwok.  
.:2-15-18am:. <whop> like at libraries  
.:2-15-20am:. <Skywaker> IPs at schools can mean that the vandal is 13 or younger  
.:2-15-21am:. <+JustinGann> i thought you were too tired  
.:2-15-21am:. <@SillyDan> A one-month ban is still OK, I think  
.:2-15-23am:. <Darth\_Cow> yep  
.:2-15-28am:. <Skywaker> Maybe 13 or younger  
.:2-15-33am:. <Darth\_Cow> yep  
.:2-15-38am:. <whop> sure  
.:2-15-40am:. <KeiranHalcyon> yes i have broadband and my IP chages monthly.....  
.:2-15-42am:. <+RMF|> one-month should be OK for persistent vandalism, I think  
.:2-15-50am:. <@jSarek> SD: I think so.  
.:2-15-50am:. <+RMF|> but def. not isolated  
.:2-15-57am:. <@Jaymach> I still believe that, if it turns out an "on Wheels" vandal is one a static IP, then they should have an infinite ban  
.:2-15-58am:. <Darth\_Cow> I'm just gonna say yep when I see something I agree with; I can't keep up  
.:2-15-59am:. <KeiranHalcyon> RMF, agreed.  
.:2-16-08am:. <@Jaymach> \*on a  
.:2-16-09am:. <@Kuralyov> Jaymach, agreed  
.:2-16-14am:. <+RMF|> on wheels vandals register  
.:2-16-15am:. <@jSarek> Are any IPs really that static?  
.:2-16-18am:. <+RMF|> they have to, to move articles

.:2:16:20am:. <@SillyDan> Jaymach: if established via checkuser  
.:2:16:24am:. <Skywaker> Thats how vandals, spammers, hackers, ect gets around.  
There ISP broadband IP changes and or they change there proxy  
.:2:16:26am:. <+RMF|> good luck with that.  
.:2:16:33am:. <@StarNeptune> Or they are on AOL  
.:2:16:35am:. <Darth\_Cow> yeah really  
.:2:16:38am:. <whsi> wow...  
.:2:16:42am:. <Skywaker> AOL is the worst  
.:2:16:52am:. <Darth\_Cow> yeah. Hard  
.:2:16:55am:. <KeiranHalcyon> AO SMELL  
.:2:16:56am:. <@jSarek> OF course AOL is the worst. No one has disputed that fact.  
;-)  
.:2:16:56am:. <whop> i hate them  
.:2:16:59am:. <+JustinGann> ok i read we can report vandalism HERE  
.:2:17:01am:. <Darth\_Cow> lol  
.:2:17:02am:. <Skywaker> AOL SMELLS  
.:2:17:04am:. <@Jaymach> kindly stay on topic  
.:2:17:06am:. <whop> lol  
.:2:17:08am:. <Darth\_Cow> you killed it  
.:2:17:09am:. <@Jaymach> otherwise you may be kicked from the room  
.:2:17:11am:. <+RMF|> CheckUser can only be performed by Wikia staff, which  
means only in certain circumstances can you get it done  
.:2:17:12am:. <Darth\_Cow> lol  
.:2:17:26am:. <whop> ok, back on topic?  
.:2:17:26am:. <@Jaymach> Angela is usually kind enough to do it for us when asked  
.:2:17:27am:. <Skywaker> Jay: Sorry. Anyway Vandals should be taken care of  
.:2:17:28am:. <+RMF|> they are very hesistant to use it  
.:2:17:46am:. \* Parts: kwafum (n=46b0b055@box6.tpa.wikia-inc.com)  
.:2:17:59am:. <Skywaker> Also vandals can use Hack tools to get around  
.:2:18:02am:. <KeiranHalcyon> Jaymach: my apologies.....  
.:2:18:05am:. <whop> yes  
.:2:18:06am:. <+RMF|> I've seen her turn people down before; I idle in the #Wikia  
channel pretty often  
.:2:18:14am:. <@Jaymach> so I assume it's agreed that we should have a unified  
blocking policy?  
.:2:18:14am:. <+LtNOWIS> Most vandals, I would think, aren't that smart...  
.:2:18:18am:. <+RMF|> (for CheckUser)  
.:2:18:19am:. <@SillyDan> why does she turn people down?  
.:2:18:21am:. <+JustinGann> yes  
.:2:18:26am:. <+RMF|> because of privacy concerns  
.:2:18:27am:. <@Azizlight> yes @ jaymach  
.:2:18:29am:. <@SillyDan> Jaymach: agree  
.:2:18:36am:. <whop> im there too, she does  
.:2:18:37am:. <KeiranHalcyon> jaymach: yes  
.:2:18:45am:. <whop> jaymach: sure  
.:2:18:48am:. <Darth\_Cow> Yep  
.:2:18:48am:. \* @StarNeptune agrees  
.:2:18:53am:. <Skywaker> They pretty much dont respect Wookieepedia  
.:2:18:54am:. <+RMF|> as do I  
.:2:19:01am:. <Darth\_Cow> lol

.:2-19-02am:. <@SillyDan> Perhaps a consensus track vote could be done for that?  
.:2-19-07am:. <@StarNeptune> Yes  
.:2-19-07am:. <@Jaymach> would it be best to try to work a policy out in here, in a Consensus Track, or perhaps even in an admin-only area (that, as of yet, does not exist)  
.:2-19-09am:. <Darth\_Cow> ...  
.:2-19-14am:. <@StarNeptune> Prob a CT  
.:2-19-17am:. <whop> ok  
.:2-19-22am:. <@Jaymach> seeing as it's deffinatly a topic that only affects admins  
.:2-19-24am:. <@StarNeptune> Doing it in here would take a good deal of meeting time  
.:2-19-25am:. <@SillyDan> A protected page which only admins could edit?  
.:2-19-30am:. <@Azizlight> I don't think an admin only area is a good idea  
.:2-19-30am:. <@Jaymach> indeed  
.:2-19-35am:. <@SillyDan> but non-admins could read  
.:2-19-36am:. <Darth\_Cow> Sysop control?  
.:2-19-37am:. <+RMF|> I don't either  
.:2-19-38am:. <@jSarek> Nothing affects only admins.  
.:2-19-42am:. <+RMF|> it's a wiki, not a cabal  
.:2-19-45am:. <Skywaker> There should be a new system that can automatically ban a vandal if he/she does something stupid in Wookieepedia  
.:2-19-52am:. <Darth\_Cow> yeah  
.:2-19-56am:. <Darth\_Cow> really  
.:2-19-56am:. <Skywaker> or anyother Wikia  
.:2-19-58am:. <Darth\_Cow> lol  
.:2-19-58am:. <KeiranHalcyon> banning effects more then admins...  
.:2-20-05am:. <@Jaymach> it affects more than admins  
.:2-20-06am:. <+RMF|> Yes, it does.  
.:2-20-08am:. <@Jaymach> but only admins can use it  
.:2-20-14am:. <whop> that it does  
.:2-20-17am:. <KeiranHalcyon> true  
.:2-20-17am:. <whop> yes  
.:2-20-18am:. <@jSarek> I wish we could limit page moves to, like, one per minute.  
.:2-20-22am:. <Skywaker> Sysops can take care of vandals easily  
.:2-20-23am:. <@Jaymach> we can  
.:2-20-28am:. <@Jaymach> we just haven't  
.:2-20-29am:. <@SillyDan> So a public Consensus track?  
.:2-20-41am:. <Darth\_Cow> Lots of Admins here  
.:2-20-43am:. <+RMF|> page moves can be rate-limited, I'm not sure if they are at the moment  
.:2-20-44am:. <Skywaker> it has to be private  
.:2-20-51am:. <@Jaymach> RMF: they aren't in any way  
.:2-20-52am:. <KeiranHalcyon> sillydan: agreed CT sounds logical  
.:2-20-53am:. <@jSarek> I think that'd be a good way to slow down On Wheels vandals without affecting anyone making legit edits.  
.:2-20-59am:. <+RMF|> it's not something we can set, it's up to Wikia  
.:2-21-07am:. <+RMF|> I said they can be, not that they are  
.:2-21-09am:. <Skywaker> Wookieepedia has 14 sysops, so there shouldnt be a problem to track vandals  
.:2-21-15am:. <Darth\_Cow> huh

.:2-21-15am:. <@Jaymach> I still quite like my suggestion  
.:2-21-22am:. <+JustinGann> which one  
.:2-21-23am:. <Darth\_Cow> lol  
.:2-21-25am:. <@Jaymach> if anyone would like me to explain it, then I can do  
.:2-21-30am:. <@SillyDan> Go for it  
.:2-21-33am:. <whop> i like jaymachs too  
.:2-21-40am:. <Darth\_Cow> ?  
.:2-21-41am:. <Skywaker> me too Jay  
.:2-21-52am:. <KeiranHalcyon> jaymach: please explain...  
.:2-21-57am:. <Darth\_Cow> yeah  
.:2-22-03am:. <+JustinGann> as long as the page is viewable by the rest of us, i have  
no prob with an admin only page  
.:2-22-11am:. <@Jaymach> if you go on over to the Homestar Runner Wiki, and try  
to edit a page, you'll find you are unable to do so without entering an "image code"  
(one of those things where you read an image and type the letters you see)  
.:2-22-12am:. <Darth\_Cow> yeah  
.:2-22-16am:. <KeiranHalcyon> justin: agreed....  
.:2-22-17am:. <Skywaker> A private special page  
.:2-22-19am:. <@Jaymach> I don't believe we should use it in the same way they do  
.:2-22-23am:. <@Jaymach> but we could use it for move pages  
.:2-22-28am:. <Darth\_Cow> Trolls...  
.:2-22-31am:. <@Jaymach> so that, if a user wished to move a page, they would have  
to enter the image code  
.:2-22-37am:. <+JustinGann> an image code  
.:2-22-40am:. <KeiranHalcyon> good idea jaymach  
.:2-22-40am:. <+RMF|> No, it goes against the basic principle of any functional wiki -  
- rule by consensus  
.:2-22-41am:. <+JustinGann> ok that might help some  
.:2-22-42am:. <Darth\_Cow> I LIKE IT  
.:2-22-44am:. <@Kuralyov> I like it  
.:2-22-47am:. <Skywaker> That would work  
.:2-22-52am:. <@SillyDan> That would be a good idea, Jaymach, though wikia would  
have to set it up, wouldn't they?  
.:2-22-53am:. <@StarNeptune> I hate image codes  
.:2-22-53am:. <@Jaymach> it wouldn't stop it entirely  
.:2-22-57am:. <Darth\_Cow> We should do that  
.:2-23-00am:. <@StarNeptune> but in this case, it is a deterrent  
.:2-23-01am:. <@Jaymach> but it would mean that it would take a lot longer  
.:2-23-01am:. <+RMF|> A new extension would have to be made, most likely  
.:2-23-03am:. <@Azizlight> we could try it at least  
.:2-23-07am:. <@Jaymach> and people couldn't use bots to move pages  
.:2-23-08am:. <Darth\_Cow> so  
.:2-23-13am:. <+JustinGann> Jaymach IS the concensus;) )  
.:2-23-16am:. <+RMF|> there are confirmedit extensions out there, but they affect all  
edits  
.:2-23-17am:. <@Kuralyov> Also, it would mean that it wouldn't be as easy, so  
vandals might get tired of it faster  
.:2-23-18am:. <@jSarek> The image code thing is mostly to blow up spam machines.  
.:2-23-19am:. <Darth\_Cow> Still, look at the good in it  
.:2-23-22am:. <Skywaker> Smart idea



.:2-23-39am.: <@Jaymach> jSarek: most on Wheels movers are spam machines :P  
.:2-23-40am.: <Darth\_Cow> It would REALLY help with trolls  
.:2-23-46am.: <KeiranHalcyon> something is better than nothing IMO  
.:2-23-52am.: <Darth\_Cow> yep  
.:2-23-53am.: <@SillyDan> I'd prefer move rate limiting, since there's rarely a legitimate reason to move pages at an on-wheels rate  
.:2-23-53am.: <+JustinGann> well we dont want that again  
.:2-24-00am.: <Wookieeperial> Is there an answer here in perhaps admin-locking finished pages?  
.:2-24-05am.: <@SillyDan> we'll get it again, don't worry  
.:2-24-06am.: <@jSarek> Jay: I meant computers programmed to make edits, rather than people.  
.:2-24-06am.: <Skywaker> yes  
.:2-24-12am.: <@Jaymach> yes I know  
.:2-24-12am.: <+RMF|> there are no "finished pages" on a wiki  
.:2-24-14am.: <@StarNeptune> Finished pages?  
.:2-24-18am.: <+JustinGann> RMF made my point  
.:2-24-19am.: <Darth\_Cow> yeah really  
.:2-24-19am.: <Skywaker> Protected Pages  
.:2-24-22am.: <@Azizlight> RMF is right  
.:2-24-24am.: <@Jaymach> but many of the on Wheels "users" could very well be machines  
.:2-24-33am.: <Skywaker> Crawlers  
.:2-24-35am.: <whop> that is true  
.:2-24-51am.: <Darth\_Cow> Why do people want to troll pages anyway?@@  
.:2-24-52am.: <Wookieeperial> There are plenty of pages that are close to "written out"  
.:2-24-58am.: <+RMF|> At this point, I think out admins can handle WoW vandalism without any further actions  
.:2-25-01am.: <Wookieeperial> Most featured article pages, for instance.  
.:2-25-01am.: <+RMF|> \*our  
.:2-25-08am.: <+RMF|> it's a hassle, sure  
.:2-25-09am.: <@Jaymach> we can handle them mostly, yes  
.:2-25-17am.: <Skywaker> Vandalism is probelm #1 in this case, got to do something  
.:2-25-18am.: <@StarNeptune> Palpatine will never be finished  
.:2-25-19am.: <@Jaymach> but it's much better to at least attempt to get rid of them  
.:2-25-27am.: <@Kuralyov> I'm definitely against any sort of locking so-called "finished pages"  
.:2-25-28am.: <+RMF|> we can't  
.:2-25-33am.: <Darth\_Cow> yeah  
.:2-25-34am.: <@SillyDan> Wookieeperial: they still aren't finished, though some may approach completion asymptotically  
.:2-25-35am.: <+RMF|> they'd find a different way  
.:2-25-36am.: <Darth\_Cow> I know  
.:2-25-39am.: <+JustinGann> even minor articles shouldnt be locked  
.:2-25-51am.: <Darth\_Cow> no they shouldn't  
.:2-25-54am.: <@jSarek> Can we also limit registration of new usernames to a certain number per timeframe per IP?  
.:2-25-56am.: <@StarNeptune> The only thing we can do now is semi-protect

.:2:25:57am.: \* Parts: Darth\_Cow (n=Darth\_Co@c-67-171-143-74.hsd1.or.comcast.net)

.:2:25:58am.: <+JustinGann> most novels add little throwaway lines that expand continuity on the most random things

.:2:25:58am.: <Skywaker> Locking pages means it is a "Protected Pages", much like Wookieepedia's main page

.:2:25:59am.: <@Jaymach> just in case anyone wasn't aware of what I was meaning with the image code...please go here:

.:2:26:00am.: <@Jaymach>  
[http://www.hrwiki.org/index.php?title=Homestar%27s\\_Pants&action=edit](http://www.hrwiki.org/index.php?title=Homestar%27s_Pants&action=edit)

.:2:26:07am.: <@jSarek> For instance, only one username per hour can be registered per IP addy?

.:2:26:11am.: <+JustinGann> and as long as Abel is writing, no subject is offlimits

.:2:26:12am.: <KeiranHalcyon> locking articles defeats the purpose of using a wiki system....IMO

.:2:26:15am.: <@StarNeptune> but even then, it's not a good idea to do so unless it's to block an anon

.:2:26:19am.: <+RMF|> jSarek: I don't think so.

.:2:26:23am.: <@Jaymach> but I believe we've went rather off-topic :)

.:2:26:24am.: <Skywaker> One Registered Member Per IP

.:2:26:29am.: <+JustinGann> sorry

.:2:26:34am.: <+RMF|> no

.:2:26:38am.: <Skywaker> No multi-member thing

.:2:26:41am.: <@Jaymach> should we move onto the next meeting agenda, or do we need to discuss any of this more?

.:2:26:45am.: \* Joins: Darth\_Cow (n=Darth\_Co@c-67-171-143-74.hsd1.or.comcast.net)

.:2:26:46am.: <+RMF|> what about schools/universities

.:2:26:50am.: <@jSarek> Skywalker: IPs rotate.

.:2:26:52am.: <KeiranHalcyon> next!!

.:2:26:55am.: <@SillyDan> Skywalker: what if a legit user wants to run a bot?

.:2:26:57am.: <+RMF|> they often share IPs

.:2:26:58am.: <+LtNOWIS> I think Semi-protection is better than protection, if we're talking vandalism

.:2:27:07am.: <+RMF|> yes

.:2:27:12am.: <Darth\_Cow> ...

.:2:27:18am.: <@jSarek> LtNOWIS: Yes.

.:2:27:19am.: <@SillyDan> full protection is only useful for edit wars

.:2:27:25am.: <@StarNeptune> Semi protection currently only blocks IP addys

.:2:27:31am.: <@Jaymach> such as the recent ISD/ISDII edit war

.:2:27:37am.: <KeiranHalcyon> jaymach:what is the next odor of business? =)

.:2:27:38am.: <@Jaymach> but we shan't go into that...at least not yet :)

.:2:27:39am.: <+RMF|> no, also the newest 5% if editors

.:2:27:42am.: <+LtNOWIS> It doesn't block newbie Registered users like on Wiki?

.:2:27:49am.: <+RMF|> yes, it does.

.:2:27:51am.: <@SillyDan> Site redesign is next

.:2:27:52am.: <Wookieeperial> A page can obviously be unlocked for adding more stuff... never mind, Ewok theory. Moving on.

.:2:27:54am.: <+JustinGann> i avoid ISD pages like the plague

.:2-27-55am.: <Skywaker> Silly: Then we are screwed, sorry for my language. Bots are the enemy.

.:2-28-05am.: <@Azizlight> lol

.:2-28-14am.: <Darth\_Cow> ye

.:2-28-19am.: <Darth\_Cow> ah

.:2-28-27am.: <@StarNeptune> K, Site redesign

.:2-28-27am.: <@jSarek> Tell that to R2! ;-)

.:2-28-27am.: <@SillyDan> Skywaker: I'm talking "good" bots like Sikon's R2-D2. And don't call me Silly. 8)

.:2-28-27am.: <@Jaymach> but yes, the next order of business is a possible site redesign...do people mind moving on?

.:2-28-31am.: <Skywaker> Whats next for the agenda?

.:2-28-42am.: <@StarNeptune> Discuss

.:2-28-47am.: <+JustinGann> i never knew Wookieepedia was IU

.:2-28-51am.: <Skywaker> We pretty much wrapped up the Vandalism discussion

.:2-28-52am.: \* Joins: bp0 (i=pburt0@watertownDHCP-2.216-254-231.iw.net)

.:2-28-54am.: <@Kuralyov> Didn't we just get a site redesign?

.:2-28-54am.: <+JustinGann> whered you get that idea Jaymach

.:2-28-55am.: <@SillyDan> Site redesign

.:2-29-02am.: <@jSarek> Hold on, what did we decide on Vandalism? Kick it to consensus?

.:2-29-06am.: <@SillyDan> Yes, we did

.:2-29-08am.: <@StarNeptune> yeah

.:2-29-09am.: <+JustinGann> ok

.:2-29-10am.: <KeiranHalcyon> site redesign....thought we just did one

.:2-29-12am.: <Skywaker> Yeah Wookieepedia's main page has the water theme

.:2-29-18am.: <@Jaymach> no, we did a main page redesign

.:2-29-22am.: <@Jaymach> I'm talking about a full site redesign

.:2-29-24am.: <whop> yes

.:2-29-25am.: <@StarNeptune> We redesigned the main page

.:2-29-28am.: <+RMF|> he's talking site-wide

.:2-29-31am.: <@StarNeptune> this is about the whole site

.:2-29-34am.: <whop> ahh

.:2-29-37am.: <@Kuralyov> How would that work?

.:2-29-39am.: <@SillyDan> Do not use this design:  
[http://www.battlestarwiki.org/wiki/Main\\_Page](http://www.battlestarwiki.org/wiki/Main_Page)

.:2-29-41am.: <KeiranHalcyon> ah ok.....sounds cool to me.....

.:2-29-43am.: <+JustinGann> ok but what would an IU design look like

.:2-29-49am.: <@SillyDan> it looks good at first, but then you can't read it

.:2-29-54am.: <Darth\_Cow> yeah...

.:2-29-55am.: <whop> hmm

.:2-29-55am.: <@Jaymach> I suggested making it look like the HoloNet News site

.:2-29-58am.: <+JustinGann> as long as its not yellow borders and stars

.:2-29-59am.: <Skywaker> Yeah, the skin right? it has curved table ends and a gray background

.:2-30-00am.: <@SillyDan> too much contrast

.:2-30-06am.: <+JustinGann> HNN....

.:2-30-08am.: <+JustinGann> hmmm

.:2-30-13am.: <+JustinGann> that would involve LOTS of work

.:2-30-16am.: <@Kuralyov> Do not use anything from that crappy BSG wiki...



.:2-32-32am.: <KeiranHalcyon> jaymach: sweet idea, i agree is should be kind of skin based so those who do not like can choose not to view....but i would def use it.

.:2-32-36am.: <+RMF|> hmm?

.:2-32-40am.: <+RMF|> I had other ideas :P

.:2-32-46am.: <@Jaymach> KOTOR-related

.:2-32-46am.: <whop> lay em on

.:2-32-48am.: <whop> :P

.:2-32-51am.: <+RMF|> ah

.:2-32-58am.: <Skywaker> Star: Is there a redesign going on soon?

.:2-32-58am.: <+RMF|> lemme dig up the screenshot

.:2-33-03am.: <+JustinGann> <http://holonetnews.com/>

.:2-33-09am.: <Darth\_Cow> Do other Wikis have meetings?

.:2-33-11am.: <+JustinGann> if we could use something like that for a tag

.:2-33-14am.: <whop> yes

.:2-33-17am.: <+JustinGann> instad love u

.:2-33-19am.: <Darth\_Cow> hmm

.:2-33-21am.: <@Jaymach> of course, as said by previous users, the new skin would not be mandatory

.:2-33-32am.: <@Jaymach> we could, of course, keep the other skins if people didn't like using the primary one

.:2-33-34am.: <@StarNeptune> We have to decide on a skin

.:2-33-35am.: <Darth\_Cow> I like WP the way it is

.:2-33-42am.: <Skywaker> Star: all right.

.:2-33-45am.: <+JustinGann> wait love u was not supposed to go here

.:2-33-47am.: <@Jaymach> the new design would simply be the default skin

.:2-33-48am.: \* Quits: whsi (n=44158192@box6.tpa.wikia-inc.com) (Remote closed the connection)

.:2-33-58am.: <KeiranHalcyon> ok jaymach i vote HNN then.....sounds awesome to me

.:2-33-59am.: <Darth\_Cow> ?

.:2-34-02am.: <@Jaymach> if it's agreed upon that we should have one, of course

.:2-34-04am.: <+JustinGann> yeah

.:2-34-12am.: <Skywaker> the default skin has a white background, like a new Wikia.

.:2-34-13am.: <Darth\_Cow> You do what you want... just keep the old one

.:2-34-15am.: <+JustinGann> and the tags should look more in-universe

.:2-34-23am.: <+JustinGann> the current ones are eyesores

.:2-34-24am.: <Darth\_Cow> ?

.:2-34-29am.: <Darth\_Cow> ...

.:2-34-33am.: <@Jaymach> that would be the only problem I can think of

.:2-34-34am.: <@SillyDan> Justin: Which tags

.:2-34-35am.: <@StarNeptune> tags?

.:2-34-39am.: <@StarNeptune> The templates?

.:2-34-40am.: <KeiranHalcyon> yes darth cow u can use the old one if you like

.:2-34-42am.: <+JustinGann> ambig, conjecture, cut

.:2-34-45am.: <Skywaker> Tags as in Templates?

.:2-34-46am.: <Darth\_Cow> yay

.:2-34-47am.: <@Azizlight> yeah

.:2-34-48am.: <Darth\_Cow> lol

.:2-34-50am.: <whop> nice

.:2-34-52am.: <@Azizlight> they're too big

.:2-34-54am.: <+JustinGann> yes  
.:2-34-55am.: <Skywaker> {{Something}}?  
.:2-34-57am.: <@Jaymach> tags and perhaps infoboxes, would have to be redesigned as well  
.:2-34-58am.: <+RMF|>  
[http://i.i.com.com/cnet.g2/images/2003/screen0/516675\\_20031217\\_screen005.jpg](http://i.i.com.com/cnet.g2/images/2003/screen0/516675_20031217_screen005.jpg)  
.:2-34-58am.: <@Azizlight> detract from the article  
.:2-34-59am.: <+JustinGann> and the pics are distracting  
.:2-35-05am.: <whop> yes  
.:2-35-06am.: <+Darth\_Culator> Aw, I love our templates.  
.:2-35-07am.: <+JustinGann> exactly  
.:2-35-12am.: <Darth\_Cow> lol  
.:2-35-19am.: <Skywaker> ok  
.:2-35-20am.: <@StarNeptune> A lot of people seem to like the IU tags  
.:2-35-21am.: <+JustinGann> do you know how much i hate Jando and Lot Dodd now  
.:2-35-23am.: \* Quits: @Kuralyov (n=44097211@box6.tpa.wikia-inc.com) (Remote closed the connection)  
.:2-35-24am.: \* Joins: Kuralyov (n=44097211@box6.tpa.wikia-inc.com)  
.:2-35-25am.: <+RMF|> I just happened to see that KOTOR image and thought it might be interesting... I don't know how usable it would be  
.:2-35-26am.: <@Jaymach> Please redirect yourselves to RMF's link  
.:2-35-34am.: <Darth\_Cow> Ah my stupid monitor is annoying me  
.:2-35-47am.: \* Joins: whsi (n=44158192@box6.tpa.wikia-inc.com)  
.:2-35-49am.: <+JustinGann> what is that?  
.:2-35-50am.: <Kuralyov> I clicked the link and got knocked off this chat...anyone post the URL again?  
.:2-35-54am.: <+RMF|>  
[http://i.i.com.com/cnet.g2/images/2003/screen0/516675\\_20031217\\_screen005.jpg](http://i.i.com.com/cnet.g2/images/2003/screen0/516675_20031217_screen005.jpg)  
.:2-35-59am.: <@SillyDan> all of our article templates are the same size: some could stand to be smaller and less obtrusive  
.:2-36-03am.: <@Azizlight> that's very fancy  
.:2-36-13am.: <Skywaker> back on topic, so the skin maybe should be similar like the current on in Wookieepedia  
.:2-36-13am.: <KeiranHalcyon> haha no way!! KOTOR would be hot too.....man ur making the choice hard....we gotta choose right?  
.:2-36-19am.: <whop> yes  
.:2-36-25am.: <@Jaymach> we don't have to choose yet  
.:2-36-25am.: <Kuralyov> How would that KOTOR computer work for the wiki?  
.:2-36-31am.: <whop> basic and functional  
.:2-36-42am.: <Skywaker> Same Logo?  
.:2-36-43am.: <Darth\_Cow> We should just create like a million new one under preferences  
.:2-36-43am.: <+RMF|> I meant in terms of coloring  
.:2-36-46am.: <@Jaymach> we could always get someone to create several skins for us  
.:2-36-47am.: <+JustinGann> the upload page should look like R2;) )  
.:2-36-55am.: <@Jaymach> and then we'd just have to choose on a default one  
.:2-36-57am.: <Darth\_Cow> yeah  
.:2-37-01am.: <+RMF|> we're not going to have the web interface be the same as the KOTOR computers :P

.:2:37:06am:.. <@Jaymach> just now, all we're deciding upon is if there should even be a redesign

.:2:37:08am:.. <@jSarek> Yeah, some of the templates are too big and obnoxious for the relatively minor things they're tagging.

.:2:37:08am:.. <whop> lol

.:2:37:13am:.. <+RMF|> agreed.

.:2:37:16am:.. <Darth\_Cow> yeah

.:2:37:18am:.. <@Azizlight> yeah

.:2:37:19am:.. <whop> ok

.:2:37:20am:.. <+JustinGann> yes for redesign in general

.:2:37:21am:.. <KeiranHalcyon> if someone can give me resource links as to how to make skins id be glad to give it a try

.:2:37:28am:.. <+JustinGann> nd i thought it was just me;)

.:2:37:30am:.. <@StarNeptune> {{ more sources }} comes to mind

.:2:37:32am:.. <Skywaker> Yeah, the templated might not fit in some people's moniters

.:2:37:34am:.. <@SillyDan> the sourceless templates should still be big, though

.:2:37:40am:.. <@Jaymach> as I said, if needed, I'd be happy to pay for a professional designer

.:2:37:40am:.. <Darth\_Cow> yep

.:2:37:43am:.. <+JustinGann> just not have a pic of Lott

.:2:37:50am:.. <@jSarek> SN: That one, and redlinks are the two biggest offenders that come to mind.

.:2:37:51am:.. <@SillyDan> and more sources should have a different pic, if any

.:2:37:52am:.. <KeiranHalcyon> i vote yes for redesign....

.:2:37:55am:.. <@Azizlight> maybe just keep all those tags at the bottom of articles

.:2:38:03am:.. <Kuralyov> I vote yes for redesign

.:2:38:05am:.. <Skywaker> Jay: Good idea, Im sure the professional designer will be a fan of Star Wars

.:2:38:08am:.. <+JustinGann> does anyone pay attention to redlink tags

.:2:38:14am:.. <Skywaker> me

.:2:38:16am:.. <@StarNeptune> I don;t

.:2:38:17am:.. \* Jaymach sets mode: +o Kuralyov

.:2:38:17am:.. <+RMF|> heh, doubtful.

.:2:38:22am:.. <Darth\_Cow> yes and no

.:2:38:24am:.. <Darth\_Cow> lol

.:2:38:31am:.. <@jSarek> JG: Not really. I don't consider an article incomplete just because other articles aren't out there.

.:2:38:33am:.. <@Jaymach> does anyone disagree that we should have a site redesign to make the Wookieepedia look more Star Wars-y?

.:2:38:38am:.. <KeiranHalcyon> yes justin if i see one that i know something about i try and put something there.....redlinks are bad IMO

.:2:38:39am:.. <Skywaker> The redlinks mean we need more articles. "Perhaps the Archives are incomplete".

.:2:38:42am:.. <@Azizlight> we should try it

.:2:38:46am:.. <whop> ok

.:2:38:53am:.. <Darth\_Cow> TRY being the keyword

.:2:38:55am:.. <+JustinGann> well im not pro-redlink

.:2:39:00am:.. <+RMF|> Jaymach: I say go for it.

.:2:39:02am:.. <Darth\_Cow> lol

.:2-39-02am:. <@jSarek> Jay: Weak agree. Not our biggest priority, in my opinion, but if someone wants to tackle it, by all means do so.

.:2-39-04am:. <@Jaymach> we can always keep the basic "Monobook" skin and switch back to it if the new skin was not well liked

.:2-39-12am:. <Skywaker> Justmake new articles out of the redlinks

.:2-39-14am:. \* +RMF| nods

.:2-39-15am:. <Darth\_Cow> uh-huh

.:2-39-16am:. <+JustinGann> ok

.:2-39-24am:. <@Jaymach> I'd be more than happy to take the lead in the redesign project when I get back from America

.:2-39-25am:. <@Azizlight> we can have a "trial week"

.:2-39-28am:. <+RMF|> there are other skins, as well

.:2-39-36am:. <+RMF|> if you personally dislike it, you can always switch

.:2-39-39am:. <+JustinGann> but sometimes i only feel like doing one article not all the possible ones needed to get rid of redlinks

.:2-39-42am:. <+LtNOWIS> I think I said this before, but some sites allow registered users to pick the skins they want to see.

.:2-39-43am:. <Darth\_Cow> I think we have come close enough to a concluding point

.:2-39-46am:. <Skywaker> ((test))

.:2-39-50am:. <Skywaker> never mind

.:2-39-55am:. <Darth\_Cow> should we move on?

.:2-39-55am:. <@Jaymach> we allow users to pick the skin they want to see also

.:2-39-56am:. <@jSarek> Skywalker: I don't make articles unless I can source them and provide some sort of information on them.

.:2-39-57am:. <@StarNeptune> We have that as well

.:2-39-58am:. <+RMF|> Okay, it's agreed then.

.:2-40-10am:. <+JustinGann> koovy

.:2-40-11am:. <@StarNeptune> Next?

.:2-40-12am:. <+RMF|> yes, we do. look in your prefs.

.:2-40-12am:. <KeiranHalcyon> yep

.:2-40-17am:. <@Azizlight> lol @ justin

.:2-40-24am:. <KeiranHalcyon> next

.:2-40-25am:. <@Jaymach> I believe we can skip the next agenda

.:2-40-30am:. <Skywaker> J: Yeah, without the source it will be useless or a Vandalism article which is a lie.

.:2-40-35am:. <@Jaymach> it's currently being discussed in a Consensus Track

.:2-40-40am:. <@Jaymach> so there's no need to rehash it in here

.:2-40-50am:. <@SillyDan> agreed

.:2-40-56am:. <+JustinGann> ok

.:2-40-59am:. <+RMF|> we can prob. skip the IRC v. Jabber debate as well

.:2-41-02am:. <whop> yup

.:2-41-03am:. \* Quits: Wookieeperial (n=McEwok@c185.worc.ox.ac.uk) ("Xirc v0.9.8 - MacOSX")

.:2-41-04am:. <+JustinGann> ill have to read up on that

.:2-41-10am:. <@Jaymach> it was agreed upon previously that we should use IRC

.:2-41-13am:. <+JustinGann> yeah we decided that during the pre-Mofference

.:2-41-20am:. <@Jaymach> it's much more accessable, has a web interface, and is more user-friendly

.:2-41-30am:. <+RMF|> yes.

.:2-41-35am:. <Skywaker> What is the next dicussion?



.:2-41-36am.: <@SillyDan> so that brings us back to main page reform  
.:2-41-42am.: <@Jaymach> there's no real advantage to Jabber...it was only used  
previously as it was WhiteBoy's primary chat client  
.:2-41-43am.: <@StarNeptune> yay  
.:2-41-59am.: <@Jaymach> but yes, the site reform  
.:2-42-05am.: <Skywaker> The Main Page is fine, I like it. Better and more organized  
than the older one  
.:2-42-07am.: <@jSarek> The main page reform should probably be done as part of  
the larger site reform . . .  
.:2-42-14am.: <@Jaymach> I actually rather like the system we have just now  
.:2-42-22am.: <+RMF|> I see no problem with continuing alternating, yes  
.:2-42-25am.: \* Joins: Wookieeperial (n=McEwok@c185.worc.ox.ac.uk)  
.:2-42-26am.: <@Jaymach> well yes, it would be changed when that happens too  
.:2-42-26am.: <+JustinGann> ok i do have a suggestion  
.:2-42-33am.: <@jSarek> WB McEwok.  
.:2-42-35am.: <@Jaymach> but in the mean time, we need to work out something  
.:2-42-37am.: \* Quits: Darth\_Cow (n=Darth\_Co@c-67-171-143-  
74.hsd1.or.comcast.net) (Read error: 104 (Connection reset by peer))  
.:2-42-44am.: <@Jaymach> I, personally, like the alternating system we have  
.:2-42-47am.: <@Azizlight> i don't like the current mainpage. "Sky" theme is much  
nicer IMO  
.:2-42-48am.: <Wookieeperial> Thanks.  
.:2-42-48am.: <@SillyDan> Justin: suggest away  
.:2-42-49am.: <@StarNeptune> Alternating is working out fine  
.:2-42-57am.: <+RMF|> I like Sky more, as well  
.:2-43-04am.: <Skywaker> AZ: I know, I dont like the water theme  
.:2-43-05am.: <+RMF|> but the voting was fairly even  
.:2-43-17am.: \* Quits: whsi (n=44158192@box6.tpa.wikia-inc.com) (Remote closed  
the connection)  
.:2-43-18am.: <@Jaymach> I liked Earth, but I'm not offended by the two currently  
being rotated  
.:2-43-28am.: <@Azizlight> I missedn the vote :-(  
.:2-43-30am.: <@SillyDan> I can get used to almost anything  
.:2-43-43am.: <Skywaker> Sky won the vote  
.:2-43-43am.: <+RMF|> any of these are better than the old, in short  
.:2-43-44am.: <@SillyDan> at worst, I'll start using lynx again  
.:2-43-46am.: <+JustinGann> ok on the main page or any page, the sidebar, right  
above search is a pic of Chewie  
.:2-43-46am.: <KeiranHalcyon> sillydan: agreed  
.:2-43-51am.: <@Jaymach> Sky won by about 2 votes  
.:2-43-53am.: <+JustinGann> and you click on it, it takes you to Wikia  
.:2-43-54am.: <Skywaker> I mean the skin "Sky" won.  
.:2-43-55am.: <@Jaymach> which isn't consensus  
.:2-44-02am.: <Skywaker> for the main page that is.  
.:2-44-17am.: <+Darth\_Culator> I rarely visit the Main Page. I live on Recent  
Changes. And I have no aesthetic sense.  
.:2-44-20am.: <+RMF|> this isn't an election, a narrow win doesn't reflect a consensus  
.:2-44-26am.: <+JustinGann> maybe im the only one who lacks coordination, but  
lots of times im trying to search and accidentally click on Chewie (formerly the  
Wikiglobe)

.:2-44-29am.: <@jSarek> DC: Same here.  
.:2-44-31am.: <+JustinGann> and get sent to Wikia  
.:2-44-35am.: <+RMF|> heh  
.:2-44-37am.: <+JustinGann> thats annoying  
.:2-44-41am.: <@jSarek> The main page is for newbs, not vets. ;-p  
.:2-44-42am.: <@Azizlight> it's just you JG ;-)  
.:2-44-44am.: <+JustinGann> can me move that thing  
.:2-44-46am.: <@Jaymach> I dislike that the Search picture links to Wikia as well  
.:2-44-49am.: <Skywaker> Ill be right back  
.:2-44-53am.: \* Parts: Skywaker (n=starr14@adsl-69-220-124-118.dsl.chcgil.ameritech.net)  
.:2-44-53am.: <@Jaymach> I'd prefer it linking to a Search page  
.:2-44-57am.: <+JustinGann> it happens to me a lot  
.:2-45-01am.: <+JustinGann> and i get ANGRY  
.:2-45-06am.: <whop> me too  
.:2-45-06am.: <@Azizlight> lol  
.:2-45-13am.: <+JustinGann> see its not just me Aziz;) )  
.:2-45-14am.: <whop> we need a new one, or something  
.:2-45-15am.: <@Azizlight> no point in linking to wikia there IMO  
.:2-45-19am.: <@Azizlight> ok :-)  
.:2-45-23am.: \* Joins: Darth\_Cow (n=43ab8f4a@box6.tpa.wikia-inc.com)  
.:2-45-33am.: <whop> wb darth  
.:2-45-34am.: <@jSarek> But, if we yank that link, we DO definitely need one somewhere easily accessible.  
.:2-45-35am.: <+RMF|> I'm not sure if that's something we can change. I'll look into it, though.  
.:2-45-35am.: <Darth\_Cow> AAK! What did I miss?  
.:2-45-36am.: <+JustinGann> thats the only redesign issue im passionate about  
.:2-45-39am.: <@SillyDan> we should have a prominent link to wikia  
.:2-45-42am.: <KeiranHalcyon> aziz:agreed.....no wikia...if so put it ojn the bottom  
.:2-45-44am.: <@jSarek> WB Cow.  
.:2-45-51am.: <+JustinGann> just not by search  
.:2-45-51am.: <Darth\_Cow> heya  
.:2-45-59am.: <@Jaymach> we have links to Wikia  
.:2-46-01am.: <@Azizlight> it's already on the bottom somewher no?  
.:2-46-03am.: <+JustinGann> search is the most oft-used link for me  
.:2-46-03am.: <@Jaymach> below the Toolbox  
.:2-46-07am.: <@SillyDan> yes it is  
.:2-46-14am.: <Darth\_Cow> My stupid computer disconnected me  
.:2-46-19am.: <Darth\_Cow> \*back\*  
.:2-46-22am.: <KeiranHalcyon> yes it is so why have it on our search box?  
.:2-46-26am.: <@Jaymach> again we're getting off-topic though :P  
.:2-46-28am.: <@jSarek> So we do. Strike with great vengeance.  
.:2-46-30am.: <@SillyDan> maybe we could put the wikia-globe graphic and link under wikia?  
.:2-46-32am.: <@Jaymach> we were meant to be discussing the main page design  
.:2-46-39am.: <@Jaymach> or are we happy to keep it rotating at the current time?  
.:2-46-41am.: <whop> ill be away for a minute  
.:2-46-48am.: <Darth\_Cow> bye  
.:2-46-56am.: <@StarNeptune> I'm okay with rotating it

.:2-46-58am.: <+RMF|> I don't think there is much we can do besides keep rotating it  
.:2-47-00am.: <KeiranHalcyon> rotatings fine with me jaymach  
.:2-47-10am.: <@jSarek> I don't look at the Main Page enough to have an opinion, but I think rotating it could make it seem like we're in flux somehow.  
.:2-47-11am.: <@Kuralyov> I'm fine with rotating  
.:2-47-21am.: <@Jaymach> we are in flux :P  
.:2-47-30am.: <@Jaymach> constantly changing, constantly growing ;)  
.:2-47-30am.: <@Azizlight> yeah, rotating suggests doubt  
.:2-47-31am.: <+Darth\_Culator> We're all fluxed up.  
.:2-47-34am.: <Darth\_Cow> uhhh.I don't care if we rotate  
.:2-47-36am.: <+RMF|> it's a wiki; it's definitely in a flux  
.:2-47-43am.: <Darth\_Cow> I never go to the Main Page  
.:2-47-48am.: <Darth\_Cow> I don't care  
.:2-47-51am.: <Darth\_Cow> lool  
.:2-47-52am.: <@Jaymach> I rarely go to the Main Page, I must admit  
.:2-47-53am.: <Darth\_Cow> lol  
.:2-47-54am.: <@Azizlight> doesn't matter  
.:2-47-55am.: <@jSarek> Well, of course, but . . . oh, never mind.  
.:2-48-04am.: <@Azizlight> it's the MAIN PAGE  
.:2-48-10am.: <Darth\_Cow> yeah so  
.:2-48-12am.: <Darth\_Cow> lol  
.:2-48-21am.: <@Azizlight> needs to look good  
.:2-48-30am.: <@SillyDan> it'll be the first page new people see  
.:2-48-30am.: <KeiranHalcyon> lol.....if ur not using it it should be the....not main page  
.:2-48-31am.: <Darth\_Cow> I go there like once or twice a week  
.:2-48-33am.: <+JustinGann> sorry, the search/Chewie problem was the only issue i had with main page  
.:2-48-36am.: <@Azizlight> it's the first impression of the site, needless to say  
.:2-48-45am.: <Darth\_Cow> yeah...  
.:2-48-49am.: <@StarNeptune> Both skins are fine to me  
.:2-48-58am.: <Darth\_Cow> yep  
.:2-49-13am.: <@jSarek> brb  
.:2-49-17am.: <Darth\_Cow> bye  
.:2-49-38am.: <+JustinGann> hmmm here is a nitpick  
.:2-49-50am.: <+JustinGann> under the EU block is a big blank space  
.:2-49-51am.: <@Jaymach> the main page problem gets invalidated if/when we get a new skin, and I don't much care about it, so it's up to the other admins (and users, obviously) whether or not we should agree on some system in the mean time  
.:2-49-53am.: <@Azizlight> I guess I can live with the rotating though \*shrug\*  
.:2-50-00am.: <Darth\_Cow> yeah  
.:2-50-15am.: <+JustinGann> its next to the Did you know  
.:2-50-17am.: <Darth\_Cow> Hey, everyone wins with rotating  
.:2-50-18am.: <+JustinGann> it lacks symmetry  
.:2-50-26am.: <Darth\_Cow> ...  
.:2-50-27am.: <@Jaymach> Justin: only on some resolutions  
.:2-50-29am.: <+RMF|> I'll look into seeing if we can change where the Wookiee links to  
.:2-50-29am.: <+RMF|> I agree, it should link to a search page  
.:2-50-29am.: <KeiranHalcyon> if it aint broke dont fix it....

.:2:50:38am:. <whop> lol  
.:2:50:46am:. <+JustinGann> the Rebels myust be controlling my resolution  
.:2:50:51am:. <Darth\_Cow> lol  
.:2:50:58am:. <@Jaymach> for me, there's a big gap underneath the "Did you know"  
section  
.:2:51:06am:. <Darth\_Cow> really?  
.:2:51:09am:. <Darth\_Cow> not me  
.:2:51:13am:. <+RMF|> me too, Jay  
.:2:51:14am:. <Darth\_Cow> i dont think so  
.:2:51:15am:. <+JustinGann> well see we all have gaps  
.:2:51:15am:. <@Jaymach> it all depends on what resolution you use  
.:2:51:20am:. <@SillyDan> we have a <http://starwars.wikia.com/wiki/Help:Searching>  
page  
.:2:51:21am:. <Darth\_Cow> ...  
.:2:51:30am:. <+JustinGann> ok well whats the next topic  
.:2:51:31am:. <@SillyDan> the wookiee could link there  
.:2:51:31am:. <Darth\_Cow> rb  
.:2:51:34am:. <Darth\_Cow> brb  
.:2:51:46am:. <+JustinGann> or were we done with this one  
.:2:51:48am:. <KeiranHalcyon> no big gap for me....on any resolution...what browser  
u use jaymach?  
.:2:52:01am:. <@Jaymach> I use Maxthon Browser on 1600x1200 resolution  
.:2:52:05am:. <+RMF|> or it could link to --  
<http://starwars.wikia.com/index.php?title=Special:Search&adv=1>  
.:2:52:11am:. <Darth\_Cow> ...  
.:2:52:17am:. <KeiranHalcyon> must be it dude....im using firefox its perfect on all  
resos  
.:2:52:27am:. <@Jaymach> it's not the Browser  
.:2:52:31am:. <@Jaymach> as I said, it's the resolution  
.:2:52:31am:. <Darth\_Cow> no  
.:2:52:33am:. <@Azizlight> i guess 1600 x 1200 is still fairly uncommon  
.:2:52:34am:. <@SillyDan> RMF: much better idea  
.:2:52:36am:. <@Jaymach> it is  
.:2:52:46am:. <Darth\_Cow> I use 1024x768  
.:2:52:48am:. <@Jaymach> the most common resolution is 1024x768  
.:2:52:50am:. <Darth\_Cow> no problems  
.:2:52:57am:. <@Jaymach> and so we should make the site compliant with that  
resolution  
.:2:52:58am:. <@Azizlight> or 800 x 600  
.:2:52:59am:. <KeiranHalcyon> heh its all i use....with SLI lower res is horrible  
looking  
.:2:53:08am:. <@Jaymach> no, 1024x768 is the default for Windows XP  
.:2:53:14am:. <Darth\_Cow> yep  
.:2:53:18am:. <Darth\_Cow> newer ones  
.:2:53:19am:. <@Jaymach> most users don't bother changing it  
.:2:53:21am:. <Darth\_Cow> that is\  
.:2:53:24am:. <+JustinGann> who controls the Did you Know  
.:2:53:25am:. <@Jaymach> and so it's the most common resolution  
.:2:53:26am:. <Darth\_Cow> lol  
.:2:53:27am:. <@Azizlight> but many still use 800x600

.:2:53:29am.: <@jSarek> Yeay! Roommate paid me rent, I have play money for this week.

.:2:53:32am.: <@Jaymach> some still do

.:2:53:37am.: <@StarNeptune> no one "controls" it

.:2:53:42am.: <+JustinGann> oh

.:2:53:43am.: <@Jaymach> some use smaller and larger resolutions

.:2:53:43am.: <Darth\_Cow> ...

.:2:53:46am.: <@StarNeptune> people just update it whenever

.:2:53:50am.: <@Jaymach> but the most common is 1024x768 without a doubt

.:2:53:52am.: <KeiranHalcyon> its like any other article...

.:2:53:55am.: \* +RMF| looks for the next topic

.:2:54:00am.: <@Azizlight> some people prefer the bigger text

.:2:54:07am.: <+JustinGann> ive never messed with main page

.:2:54:14am.: <Darth\_Cow> me neither

.:2:54:25am.: <Darth\_Cow> I use default everything

.:2:54:32am.: <@StarNeptune> It's sysop protected

.:2:54:38am.: <+JustinGann> do we have a conclusion yet

.:2:54:40am.: <@jSarek> Mine is set for 1280\*1024

.:2:54:43am.: <Darth\_Cow> yes?

.:2:54:52am.: <@SillyDan> ok: do we go on to the "improvement drive" topic now? or keep comparing resolutions?

.:2:54:54am.: <+JustinGann> i dont really care about the symmetry

.:2:54:59am.: <+JustinGann> i was just noting that

.:2:55:06am.: <@Jaymach> 1024x768 28623 56.23%

.:2:55:06am.: <@Jaymach> 800x600 8752 17.19%

.:2:55:06am.: <@Jaymach> 1280x1024 8529 16.76%

.:2:55:06am.: <@Jaymach> 1152x864 2054 4.04%

.:2:55:06am.: <@Jaymach> Other 2018 3.96%

.:2:55:06am.: <@Jaymach> 1600x1200 358 0.70%

.:2:55:06am.: <@Jaymach> 1440x900 194 0.38%

.:2:55:06am.: <@Jaymach> 1400x1050 135 0.27%

.:2:55:06am.: <@Jaymach> 1680x1050 108 0.21%

.:2:55:06am.: <@Jaymach> 640x480 90 0.18%

.:2:55:06am.: <@Jaymach> 1920x1200 38 0.07%

.:2:55:06am.: <@Jaymach> 2048x1536 1 0.00%

.:2:55:15am.: \* Joins: Cull\_Tremayne (n=d8dd60ca@box6.tpa.wikia-inc.com)

.:2:55:16am.: <KeiranHalcyon> 1280 by 1024 but i use 1024 by 768 at work cuz my work comp sucks

.:2:55:23am.: \* Joins: Adamwankenobi (n=0c9a6a2a@box6.tpa.wikia-inc.com)

.:2:55:24am.: <@Azizlight> ah

.:2:55:24am.: <@Jaymach> that's the resolution readout from my personal site....as you can see, 1024x768 wins by a large margin

.:2:55:27am.: <+JustinGann> thats a lot of big numbers, my eyes are crossing

.:2:55:32am.: <Darth\_Cow> whewill the next mofference be? Have we set the time?

.:2:55:36am.: <+LtNOWIS> yeah, 1024 rules

.:2:55:40am.: <Darth\_Cow> I know

.:2:55:41am.: <@SillyDan> Adam! Hello.

.:2:55:47am.: <Adamwankenobi> Hey!

.:2:55:54am.: <@Azizlight> i hate 800x600 myself

.:2:55:55am.: <Darth\_Cow> hey

.:2:55-57am.: <+LtNOWIS> hey  
.:2:56-02am.: <@Azizlight> hey  
.:2:56-02am.: <Adamwankenobi> I like it.  
.:2:56-05am.: <Darth\_Cow> lol  
.:2:56-05am.: <@Jaymach> I hate any resolution below 1600x1200  
.:2:56-08am.: <@jSarek> Greetings Adamwan.  
.:2:56-09am.: <Darth\_Cow> I don't  
.:2:56-16am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> ditto  
.:2:56-17am.: <@Jaymach> but I still think we should make the site compliant with what most people use  
.:2:56-21am.: \* Joins: Skywaker (n=starr14@adsl-69-220-124-118.dsl.chcgil.ameritech.net)  
.:2:56-27am.: <Skywaker> Im back  
.:2:56-33am.: <+JustinGann> i agree with Jaymach  
.:2:56-35am.: <Skywaker> whats the disscussion?  
.:2:56-39am.: <KeiranHalcyon> jaymach agreed.  
.:2:56-46am.: <+RMF|> Yes, the site should be complaint with 1024x768  
.:2:56-50am.: <Darth\_Cow> Is there any possible way we could have preferences have a setting for screen size?  
.:2:56-50am.: <Darth\_Cow> ...  
.:2:56-51am.: <Darth\_Cow> ...  
.:2:56-53am.: <+RMF|> that's basically the web standard  
.:2:57-00am.: <Skywaker> I have 1024x768, I agree  
.:2:57-01am.: <+JustinGann> bc even if the whole layout just looked like a word document id still contribute;) )  
.:2:57-11am.: <@Azizlight> true  
.:2:57-13am.: <Darth\_Cow> I do too, but have an 800x600 too  
.:2:57-13am.: <KeiranHalcyon> thats a good idea darth....possible anyone?  
.:2:57-16am.: <+LtNOWIS> text size is also a variable, conceivably  
.:2:57-29am.: <Darth\_Cow> never a problem for me  
.:2:57-32am.: <@Jaymach> have we reached any sort of agreement on the Main Page problem?  
.:2:57-44am.: <Darth\_Cow> yes?  
.:2:57-48am.: <+JustinGann> yes we have  
.:2:57-54am.: <Skywaker> \Skywaker yeah  
.:2:57-55am.: <Darth\_Cow> What he said  
.:2:57-56am.: <KeiranHalcyon> jaymach: i thought we agreed to leave cycling  
.:2:57-57am.: <+JustinGann> nix Chewie and everyone's happy  
.:2:58-02am.: <@Azizlight> burn the land, boil the sea, you can't take the SKY from me ;-)  
.:2:58-06am.: <Darth\_Cow> lol  
.:2:58-07am.: <Adamwankenobi> :D  
.:2:58-08am.: <+LtNOWIS> lol  
.:2:58-12am.: <@SillyDan> fix Chewie, you mean?  
.:2:58-12am.: <whop> lol  
.:2:58-14am.: <Darth\_Cow> :P  
.:2:58-15am.: <@Jaymach> Keiran: I believe so, but I want to make sure before I attempt moving to the next topic  
.:2:58-26am.: <@Jaymach> well we need to fix Chewie too :P  
.:2:58-30am.: <Adamwankenobi> What is the decision on the main page?

.:2:58:34am.: <@Jaymach> but I was meaning the Main Page Sky/Water design  
.:2:58:35am.: <Darth\_Cow> "Fix" chewie  
.:2:58:41am.: <Skywaker> Silly: Fix Chewiw  
.:2:58:42am.: <Darth\_Cow> ..  
.:2:58:44am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> so cycling is the permanent fix?  
.:2:58:47am.: <Skywaker> \*chewie  
.:2:58:47am.: <+RMF|> I think we're going to keep cycling  
.:2:58:51am.: <@Jaymach> semi-permanent  
.:2:58:54am.: <Darth\_Cow> yes  
.:2:58:58am.: <Darth\_Cow> I think so  
.:2:59:02am.: <@Jaymach> we've agreed to have a complete site redesign, at least in a trial period  
.:2:59:06am.: <@Azizlight> i don't like the cycling, but i'll live with it  
.:2:59:06am.: <+JustinGann> ok cycling, but no longer have Chewie at the pedals  
.:2:59:13am.: <@Jaymach> so, if that sticks, we'd get a new main page anyway  
.:2:59:19am.: <@Azizlight> yeah  
.:2:59:27am.: \* Parts: Skywaker (n=starr14@adsl-69-220-124-118.dsl.chcgil.ameritech.net)  
.:2:59:28am.: <@Jaymach> so in the mean time we should continue to cycle?  
.:2:59:30am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> So the suggestion for a darker background like Memory Alpha is far in the future thing then?  
.:2:59:32am.: <+JustinGann> yes  
.:2:59:34am.: <KeiranHalcyon> can we fix chewie though?  
.:2:59:39am.: <Adamwankenobi> Can we remove the space at the top of the main page? It looks more professional like that, IMO.  
.:2:59:42am.: <@Jaymach> not far in the future, no  
.:2:59:43am.: <Darth\_Cow> I think many of the IPs that don't agree with us should've been here  
.:3:00:02am.: <@Jaymach> I'll be hiring a professional within a month if no user/admin wants to step forward to have a go  
.:3:00:02am.: <+JustinGann> this is not a democarcy, it is a Mofference  
.:3:00:03am.: <@jSarek> Cull: [http://www.holonetnews.com/56/news/13516\\_1.html](http://www.holonetnews.com/56/news/13516_1.html) is an example of one of the looks we were talking about.  
.:3:00:03am.: <Darth\_Cow> they have little room to argue  
.:3:00:13am.: <+JustinGann> agreed  
.:3:00:15am.: \* Joins: Skywaker (n=45dc7c76@box6.tpa.wikia-inc.com)  
.:3:00:17am.: <Darth\_Cow> I'm just saying  
.:3:00:18am.: <+LtNOWIS> Do we agree that a dark background is good  
.:3:00:19am.: <+LtNOWIS> ?  
.:3:00:22am.: <Darth\_Cow> no  
.:3:00:31am.: <Darth\_Cow> not too dark  
.:3:00:34am.: <@Jaymach> well the main article would still be black text on a white background  
.:3:00:37am.: \* Quits: +JustinGann (n=446f6d02@box6.tpa.wikia-inc.com) (Remote closed the connection)  
.:3:00:40am.: <@Jaymach> the outside would be all that was dark  
.:3:00:41am.: <Darth\_Cow> yep  
.:3:00:42am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> jSarek, very cool  
.:3:00:45am.: <@Jaymach> if we went with the HNN design  
.:3:00:50am.: \* Joins: JustinGann (n=446f6d02@box6.tpa.wikia-inc.com)

..3-00-54am.: <@Jaymach> of course, I'd be asking for some other designs also  
..3-00-56am.: \* Joins: Sikon (n=Administ@84.237.120.114)  
..3-00-59am.: <@Jaymach> welcome Sikon :)  
..3-01-01am.: <Darth\_Cow> Hey  
..3-01-01am.: <@Jaymach> you're late  
..3-01-07am.: <Darth\_Cow> ReaLLY  
..3-01-08am.: <JustinGann> well i like the dark background at memory Alpha  
..3-01-09am.: \* Jaymach sets mode: +o Sikon  
..3-01-15am.: <JustinGann> its easier on the eyes than white  
..3-01-16am.: <Skywaker> I still think the main page needs a little fixng  
..3-01-16am.: <Wookieeperial> II think I'm going to go sleep. Good night!  
..3-01-22am.: <+RMF|> 'night  
..3-01-23am.: <@Jaymach> 'night McEwok :)  
..3-01-26am.: <JustinGann> g'nite you old hairball  
..3-01-27am.: <@SillyDan> bye McEwok  
..3-01-28am.: <Adamwankenobi> 'night  
..3-01-31am.: <@Jaymach> so shall we move onto the next topic?  
..3-01-32am.: <Darth\_Cow> bye  
..3-01-32am.: <+LtNOWIS> 'night  
..3-01-35am.: <JustinGann> yes  
..3-01-36am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> The bluc and black from HNN would be a cool design  
..3-01-36am.: <@SillyDan> yes, please  
..3-01-40am.: <KeiranHalcyon> night  
..3-01-44am.: <@StarNeptune> NEXT!  
..3-01-45am.: <@jSarek> G'nyte McEwok.  
..3-01-46am.: <Darth\_Cow> lol  
..3-01-46am.: <KeiranHalcyon> next  
..3-01-51am.: <Darth\_Cow> lol  
..3-01-54am.: <@Jaymach> I believe this is something Sikon can chair, seeing as he suggested it :P  
..3-02-00am.: <JustinGann> ok  
..3-02-01am.: \* Parts: Wookieeperial (n=McEwok@c185.worc.ox.ac.uk)  
..3-02-03am.: <@Jaymach> "The improvement drive clutter and its possible separation into several topic-related improvement drives, like on Wikipedia and Uncyclopedia."  
..3-02-12am.: <Skywaker> Jay: That will look basic  
..3-02-14am.: <@Jaymach> I don't much know what that means so, Sikon, could you explain?  
..3-02-16am.: <JustinGann> hmmm  
..3-02-30am.: <JustinGann> i better go hide  
..3-02-36am.: <Darth\_Cow> lol  
..3-02-39am.: <whop> :)  
..3-02-46am.: <@Azizlight> meh, i never cared much for the ID process  
..3-02-52am.: <JustinGann> bc in my early Wikia days everyone knows i made lots of sourcelss articles  
..3-02-56am.: <Skywaker> Jay: That will look a little to Basic  
..3-02-57am.: <JustinGann> shame on me!  
..3-02-58am.: <@SillyDan> does it mean we could have multiple improvement drives going on at once?



.:3-02-58am.: <@Jaymach> I've never been a part of it myself  
.:3-03-09am.: \* Quits: Skywaker (n=45dc7c76@box6.tpa.wikia-inc.com) (Remote closed the connection)  
.:3-03-11am.: \* Joins: Skywaker (n=45dc7c76@box6.tpa.wikia-inc.com)  
.:3-03-18am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> seems pretty complicated, but if Uncyclopedia has done it  
.:3-03-19am.: <+RMF|> I don't think we should split them, given the low participation as is  
.:3-03-38am.: <@SillyDan> so "Character to be improved: C-3PO", "Species to be improved: Rodian", "Planet to be improved...." etc.  
.:3-03-45am.: <@jSarek> JG: Heh, I remember you saying way back then that you'd "go back and source them" later. I don't think you had any idea how big of a bite you were cutting yourself to try and chew on. ;-)  
.:3-03-45am.: <JustinGann> that sounds good  
.:3-03-55am.: <Darth\_Cow> lol  
.:3-03-58am.: <@Azizlight> lol  
.:3-03-59am.: <@StarNeptune> The pain  
.:3-04-03am.: <Darth\_Cow> lol  
.:3-04-08am.: <JustinGann> jSarek: Jaymach is my witness, i DID go back and source every sourcless planet on the sourcless list  
.:3-04-09am.: <@StarNeptune> :P  
.:3-04-12am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> but what categories would we include?  
.:3-04-19am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> How about Battle to be Improved  
.:3-04-19am.: <@Jaymach> the planets, yes :P  
.:3-04-23am.: <@jSarek> SD: Sounds like a good plan.  
.:3-04-33am.: <Darth\_Cow> ye  
.:3-04-35am.: <@Jaymach> but I quite like that idea also  
.:3-04-40am.: <JustinGann> yeah me too  
.:3-04-41am.: <@SillyDan> but is that what Sikon suggested?  
.:3-04-44am.: <+RMF|> where is Sikon?  
.:3-04-48am.: <@Jaymach> I don't know, to be honest  
.:3-04-48am.: <Darth\_Cow> left?  
.:3-04-53am.: <JustinGann> id be glad to go through an improve planets/improve species list  
.:3-04-55am.: <@Jaymach> he's still here, but hasn't spoken yet  
.:3-04-58am.: <@StarNeptune> He disappears randomly  
.:3-05-00am.: <@jSarek> JG: You got all of them? Wow. How'd we wind up with so many sourceless ones left, then? Did other people make that many sourcless stubs?  
.:3-05-02am.: <Darth\_Cow> lol  
.:3-05-03am.: <@SillyDan> (also: real person to improve would be needed)  
.:3-05-03am.: \* Quits: Skywaker (n=45dc7c76@box6.tpa.wikia-inc.com) (Read error: 104 (Connection reset by peer))  
.:3-05-09am.: \* Joins: Skywaker (n=45dc7c76@box6.tpa.wikia-inc.com)  
.:3-05-10am.: <JustinGann> lol  
.:3-05-16am.: <Darth\_Cow> "Disappears randomly" lol  
.:3-05-20am.: <JustinGann> well i went thru and looked for any and all planets  
.:3-05-24am.: <@StarNeptune> What? He does  
.:3-05-25am.: <@StarNeptune> lol  
.:3-05-32am.: <JustinGann> i thought i sourced em all  
.:3-05-33am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> that'd clear up the beef with SW actors real quick

.:3-05-41am.: <@Azizlight> JS and JS: What about all those Star and System articles ;-)

.:3-05-42am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> Who am I kidding, no one works on the ID anyway

.:3-05-49am.: <@StarNeptune> Yeah

.:3-05-53am.: <@StarNeptune> Those were a pain

.:3-05-58am.: <@Jaymach> the Stars still need to be done :P

.:3-05-59am.: <@StarNeptune> but not as much as the planets

.:3-06-04am.: <@jSarek> Oh, the pain.

.:3-06-05am.: <Darth\_Cow> yep

.:3-06-05am.: <@Azizlight> yeah

.:3-06-09am.: <@SillyDan> Yeah, I tend to work on whatever looks interesting from the last book I read

.:3-06-13am.: <JustinGann> haha

.:3-06-19am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> ditto

.:3-06-23am.: <Adamwankenobi> I know the feeling.

.:3-06-24am.: <@SillyDan> and assume articles like Chewbacca are OK

.:3-06-25am.: <@jSarek> Yes, those are problematic because so many of them are logical extrapolations without concrete sources.

.:3-06-26am.: <Skywaker> dumb connection, ok im back

.:3-06-32am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> Ha!

.:3-06-34am.: <@Azizlight> but still, JG catalog of planets was awesome :-)

.:3-06-41am.: <JustinGann> well thx

.:3-06-41am.: <Darth\_Cow> This is very entertaining.

.:3-06-45am.: <JustinGann> hmmm

.:3-06-49am.: <@jSarek> Sorting conjecture from fact in those articles will be excruciatingly tricky.

.:3-06-57am.: <JustinGann> well ill see what i can do

.:3-07-00am.: <@StarNeptune> Most of those are conjecture

.:3-07-02am.: <@jSarek> Aziz: No kidding!

.:3-07-15am.: \* Quits: Skywaker (n=45dc7c76@box6.tpa.wikia-inc.com) (Remote closed the connection)

.:3-07-17am.: <JustinGann> well, there was a lot of controversy about star names

.:3-07-22am.: <JustinGann> thats why i hadnt tackled them

.:3-07-30am.: <@jSarek> Wasn't there some talk of a different conjecture tag for stars and systems, since they're reasonable inferences and not made from whole cloth?

.:3-07-42am.: <@StarNeptune> I thought it was decided against

.:3-07-55am.: <JustinGann> speaking of, what about the recent use of conjecture tag for Ewoks cartoons due to spelling

.:3-08-04am.: <JustinGann> the spelling is conjecture, but the names are not

.:3-08-05am.: <@StarNeptune> huh?

.:3-08-09am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> ?

.:3-08-10am.: <@SillyDan> I'm against that, Justin

.:3-08-10am.: <@Kuralyov> Couldn't subtitles solve that?

.:3-08-24am.: <@Jaymach> <http://starwars.wikia.com/wiki/Bilbob>

.:3-08-26am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> conjectured spelling?

.:3-08-31am.: <JustinGann> well, the problem is, we dont have the scripts

.:3-08-34am.: <@Jaymach> there are no subtitles for the 2nd season of Ewoks

.:3-08-34am.: <JustinGann> were going by ear

.:3-08-36am.: <@Azizlight> are there subtitles available?

.:3-08-39am.: <@Azizlight> oh

.:3-08-42am.: <@Jaymach> only the 1st, which was released on DVD  
.:3-08-47am.: <KeiranHalcyon> is it just me or did we somehow hype out into the  
outer rim?  
.:3-08-54am.: <JustinGann> yeah, the 2nd is still not DVDed :(  
.:3-08-58am.: <@Azizlight> sorry lol  
.:3-09-04am.: <@jSarek> Is it scheduled to be?  
.:3-09-07am.: <@SillyDan> best we could do is bug Leland Chee on the official site  
and post to the spelling thread  
.:3-09-08am.: <JustinGann> i dunno  
.:3-09-09am.: <@Azizlight> back on topic...  
.:3-09-10am.: <@Jaymach> not at this time, no  
.:3-09-19am.: <JustinGann> ok whats the topic were supposed to be on again  
.:3-09-22am.: <KeiranHalcyon> aziz: agreed  
.:3-09-24am.: <JustinGann> oh improvement  
.:3-09-26am.: <@Jaymach> I'm not entirely sure how we can tackle Sikon's topics  
without him present  
.:3-09-27am.: <Darth\_Cow> yeah  
.:3-09-28am.: <@jSarek> SD: That is what it's there for, though don't abuse it. ;-)  
.:3-09-41am.: <Darth\_Cow> we should come back  
.:3-09-44am.: \* Quits: Hyarion2 (n=Hyarion@cpe-65-28-154-135.bak.res.rr.com)  
(Connection timed out)  
.:3-09-44am.: <@Jaymach> I can't say I much understand them myself  
.:3-09-45am.: <@SillyDan> he still hasn't said if it's Raygar or Raegar  
.:3-09-45am.: <Darth\_Cow> to this topic  
.:3-09-50am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> how can you abuse it? :D  
.:3-09-52am.: <@jSarek> Well, skip to a non-Sikon topic and we'll come back to his  
topics later.  
.:3-09-53am.: <+RMF|> unfortunately, Sikon has several other good topics on the  
agenda  
.:3-10-00am.: <Darth\_Cow> lol  
.:3-10-03am.: <@StarNeptune> K, let's move onto the next non-Sikon topic and tackle  
them wehn he comes back  
.:3-10-07am.: <JustinGann> i have an issue to raise  
.:3-10-10am.: <JustinGann> categories  
.:3-10-11am.: <@Jaymach> in which case it's "Increasing levels of foolishness at the  
Senate Hall (e.g. "Does anybody know anything about star wars rebellion 2," "Anakin  
vs. Asajj," etc) and possible solutions."  
.:3-10-19am.: <JustinGann> oh ok  
.:3-10-20am.: <+RMF|> heh  
.:3-10-22am.: <@Jaymach> sorry :P  
.:3-10-23am.: <Darth\_Cow> k  
.:3-10-26am.: <JustinGann> well stick with what the boss said  
.:3-10-27am.: <@Jaymach> we have a schedule to keep to lol  
.:3-10-29am.: <@StarNeptune> Delete on sight!  
.:3-10-31am.: <Adamwankenobi> Forbid them! :P  
.:3-10-32am.: <@SillyDan> I am at a loss what to do with that  
.:3-10-45am.: <JustinGann> well ive only used Senate Hall to discuss one problem  
.:3-10-46am.: <@Jaymach> I believe we should delete pointless forum topics on site  
too  
.:3-10-49am.: <@Azizlight> yeah we don't need that crap

.:3-10-51am.: <@Kuralyov> I say delete on site.  
.:3-10-52am.: <@SillyDan> we have links to normal forums  
.:3-10-52am.: <whop> me three  
.:3-10-53am.: <@jSarek> We've written a warning. We've bolded the warning. No matter what, people are going to see that thing as a discussion forum like on tfn or TOS.  
.:3-10-57am.: <@Jaymach> the Senate Hall was originally meant to be used for questions about the site  
.:3-11-02am.: <Darth\_Cow> yeah  
.:3-11-09am.: <+RMF|> It's getting out of hand  
.:3-11-10am.: <@Kuralyov> We should add that off-topic questions will be deleted, so that people know.  
.:3-11-13am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> yes, delete the stupid opinion topics  
.:3-11-16am.: <+LtNOWIS> We could convert to a single, partitioned page, like on Wikipedia.  
.:3-11-19am.: <@StarNeptune> Because of the forum format, people are treating it like another forum  
.:3-11-20am.: <@SillyDan> Kuralyov: agreed  
.:3-11-22am.: <+RMF|> every day there's something new, something even dumber than beofre  
.:3-11-22am.: <@Sikon> I'm here  
.:3-11-26am.: <@SillyDan> yay  
.:3-11-28am.: <JustinGann> YAY!  
.:3-11-28am.: <Darth\_Cow> yep  
.:3-11-30am.: <+RMF|> welcome Sikon  
.:3-11-33am.: <Darth\_Cow> heya  
.:3-11-34am.: <@jSarek> WB Sikon! :-)  
.:3-11-37am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> who?  
.:3-11-38am.: <Adamwankenobi> \Hey!  
.:3-11-39am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> :D  
.:3-11-39am.: <@Jaymach> ah welcome Sikon :)  
.:3-11-48am.: <KeiranHalcyon> now that we just skipped uya.....welcome sikon...lol  
.:3-11-54am.: <@Azizlight> bloody Sikon... OH... hi Sikon :-)  
.:3-11-54am.: <@Jaymach> do you mind if we continue the Senate Hall discussion and come back to your topics afterwards?  
.:3-12-11am.: <KeiranHalcyon> continue....  
.:3-12-14am.: <@Sikon> proceed :)  
.:3-12-17am.: <JustinGann> should Senate Hall be backed up by banning  
.:3-12-25am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> errr  
.:3-12-27am.: <@Jaymach> not unless it's vandalism  
.:3-12-29am.: <@SillyDan> no, I don't think so  
.:3-12-30am.: <@Azizlight> hmmm...  
.:3-12-32am.: <@StarNeptune> Warn them first  
.:3-12-32am.: <+RMF|> no  
.:3-12-33am.: <+LtNOWIS> Check out  
[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Help\\_Desk](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Help_Desk)  
.:3-12-33am.: <Darth\_Cow> no  
.:3-12-36am.: <@Jaymach> ie. posting "3P0 is gay" as a topic  
.:3-12-36am.: <+LtNOWIS> One big page  
.:3-12-41am.: <Darth\_Cow> yeah

.:3-12-42am.: <@Azizlight> lol  
.:3-12-43am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> then yes  
.:3-12-48am.: <Darth\_Cow> THEN YES  
.:3-12-49am.: <@Jaymach> that would warrant a ban  
.:3-12-54am.: <Darth\_Cow> yep  
.:3-12-55am.: <JustinGann> ok  
.:3-12-57am.: <KeiranHalcyon> what? banned just because your point of view as to  
whats a valid question is different then another person?  
.:3-13-03am.: <Darth\_Cow> No  
.:3-13-07am.: <@Jaymach> but, otherwise, the topic should simply be deleted and a  
note left on the user/anon's talk page not to do so again  
.:3-13-09am.: <@StarNeptune> What is with people and calling Threepio gay  
.:3-13-11am.: <Darth\_Cow> Banned for being like...that  
.:3-13-14am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> valid question  
.:3-13-15am.: <@SillyDan> asking if C-3PO is gay is a stupid question, Keiran  
.:3-13-17am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> ?  
.:3-13-17am.: \* Quits: bp0 (i=pburt0@watertownDHCP-2.216-254-231.iw.net)  
(Connection timed out)  
.:3-13-17am.: <@jSarek> YEah, anything that's vandalism somewhere else is still  
vandalism there.  
.:3-13-20am.: <KeiranHalcyon> ok agreed jaymach  
.:3-13-21am.: <JustinGann> what if only admins can start topics  
.:3-13-27am.: <Darth\_Cow> He's a freaking robot  
.:3-13-28am.: <@Azizlight> nah  
.:3-13-31am.: <@SillyDan> Justin: no  
.:3-13-33am.: <JustinGann> ok  
.:3-13-43am.: <Adamwankenobi> wHO Is teh pwn jedI?> VADor or maul? :P  
.:3-13-46am.: <Darth\_Cow> no  
.:3-13-47am.: <@Jaymach> the forum is meant for questions about the site  
.:3-13-47am.: <KeiranHalcyon> justin: no  
.:3-13-47am.: <@SillyDan> then you couldn't start topics  
.:3-13-49am.: <JustinGann> what if only registered useres can start topics  
.:3-13-55am.: <@Jaymach> eg. if someone needs help, they go to the forum  
.:3-13-55am.: <Adamwankenobi> :P  
.:3-14-00am.: <Darth\_Cow> YES  
.:3-14-04am.: <Darth\_Cow> NICE  
.:3-14-09am.: <JustinGann> oh, i thought if someone needed help they went to mods  
.:3-14-18am.: <@Jaymach> they can do  
.:3-14-19am.: <@Azizlight> anons need to be able to ask questions too  
.:3-14-29am.: <Darth\_Cow> no  
.:3-14-30am.: <@Jaymach> but it's better for them to use the Senate Hall really  
.:3-14-31am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> they they should register  
.:3-14-33am.: <JustinGann> im not anon-friendly  
.:3-14-35am.: <+RMF|> We could make it so that only reg. users could start topics --  
but then people would still think they were like normal forums, only with required  
registration.  
.:3-14-44am.: <JustinGann> point  
.:3-14-45am.: <Darth\_Cow> so  
.:3-14-48am.: <KeiranHalcyon> ok im lost....what is supposed to be discussed in the  
senate hall?

.:3-14-50am.: <Darth\_Cow> lol  
.:3-14-50am.: <+RMF|> Which equals numerous accounts created solely for the Senate hall  
.:3-15-01am.: <@Jaymach> Keiran: the Senate Hall is for site-based discussions  
.:3-15-02am.: <@StarNeptune> Stuff that is not discussed on talk pages  
.:3-15-03am.: \* Joins: MarcK (n=465f1f7f@box6.tpa.wikia-inc.com)  
.:3-15-07am.: <JustinGann> Senate Hall is for discussing articles  
.:3-15-08am.: <MarcK> DAMNIT DAMNIT DAMNIT DAMNIT  
.:3-15-11am.: <@Jaymach> if you need help with something about the site, you ask it on the Senate Hall  
.:3-15-12am.: <JustinGann> making, editing, etc  
.:3-15-12am.: <@Azizlight> lol  
.:3-15-13am.: \* StarNeptune sets mode: +o MarcK  
.:3-15-14am.: <@Jaymach> and welcome MarcK :P  
.:3-15-15am.: <@MarcK> what'd I miss?  
.:3-15-16am.: <+LtNOWIS> I still like Wikipedia's method of dividing between factual questions and site help questions.  
.:3-15-18am.: <@jSarek> Greetings MarcK.  
.:3-15-19am.: <@Jaymach> quite a lot :)  
.:3-15-25am.: <@MarcK> figures  
.:3-15-26am.: <@Sikon> we cannot make registration requirement mandatory for the SH  
.:3-15-26am.: <@Jaymach> but you'll catch it all in the transcript :P  
.:3-15-29am.: <KeiranHalcyon> ok thanks  
.:3-15-37am.: <@Sikon> at least it can't be enforced  
.:3-15-46am.: <@Sikon> technically  
.:3-15-52am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> meaning?  
.:3-16-03am.: <+LtNOWIS> We should put a painfully explicit warning at the top of Senate Hall  
.:3-16-09am.: <+LtNOWIS> like, bright colors and such  
.:3-16-11am.: <Darth\_Cow> yes  
.:3-16-13am.: <@Sikon> lol  
.:3-16-15am.: <KeiranHalcyon> agreed  
.:3-16-16am.: <Adamwankenobi> yep  
.:3-16-17am.: <JustinGann> you the conjecture tag  
.:3-16-20am.: <@Jaymach> we could use red writing  
.:3-16-20am.: <Darth\_Cow> banned if bad  
.:3-16-23am.: <Darth\_Cow> lol  
.:3-16-24am.: <JustinGann> thats painfully explicit  
.:3-16-28am.: <Darth\_Cow> lol  
.:3-16-29am.: <JustinGann> i HATE Jango  
.:3-16-36am.: <Darth\_Cow> ?  
.:3-16-38am.: <@jSarek> Okay, question . . . given the new forum format, is it valuable to still have Consensus Track separate from the main Senate Hall?  
.:3-16-39am.: <+RMF|> It won't help. The Senate Hall is beyond salvage, I think.  
.:3-16-49am.: <@Sikon> jSarek> I think yes  
.:3-16-51am.: <+RMF|> Yes, CT should be separate  
.:3-16-52am.: <@SillyDan> jSarek: I think so  
.:3-16-53am.: <@Jaymach> I believe it is, ues  
.:3-16-58am.: <JustinGann> i have a suggestion

.:3-17-06am.: <JustinGann> why not just make a forum  
.:3-17-08am.: <+LtNOWIS> yeah, because Senate Hall is for help, not decision-making  
.:3-17-09am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> great another thing that's initials are CT  
.:3-17-10am.: <@Sikon> and I think notices should warrant another forum either  
.:3-17-13am.: <@Jaymach> the basic senate hall is for suggestions/questions/problems with the site itself, rather than certain articles  
.:3-17-24am.: <JustinGann> oh  
.:3-17-28am.: <@Jaymach> the consensus track is for major disputes, more or less  
.:3-17-29am.: <@Kuralyov> Connecticut?  
.:3-17-42am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> that too :)  
.:3-17-42am.: <+LtNOWIS> I think we need a place where random people can ask factual questions.  
.:3-17-43am.: <@Sikon> why not "Grand Convocation Chamber"?  
.:3-17-43am.: <Adamwankenobi> Call a general discussion forum "The Cantina"  
.:3-17-45am.: <@Jaymach> or major decisions  
.:3-17-47am.: <Darth\_Cow> Senate Hall is a forum of forums  
.:3-17-50am.: <JustinGann> oh stink, i use the Seante Hall one time and I misused it!  
.:3-17-54am.: <KeiranHalcyon> jaymach: why not direct all questions/ inquiries to the forum then and cut out the confusion?  
.:3-18-06am.: <JustinGann> and i misspelled Senate!  
.:3-18-13am.: <Darth\_Cow> lol  
.:3-18-22am.: <Darth\_Cow> "Seante"  
.:3-18-23am.: <@Sikon> well, it would make the forum overbloated  
.:3-18-23am.: <@Jaymach> Keiran: because that makes article talk pages irrelevant  
.:3-18-41am.: <@Jaymach> questions about specific things in the SW universe are to be asked on that items talk page  
.:3-18-45am.: <JustinGann> well what if a topic covers multiple articles  
.:3-18-47am.: <@Sikon> yep  
.:3-18-50am.: <@Jaymach> questions about the site, are to be asked in the Senate Hall  
.:3-18-53am.: <@Sikon> every question creates a page in the Forum: namespace, not even a subpage  
.:3-18-54am.: <@Jaymach> it's really rather simple :)  
.:3-18-55am.: <KeiranHalcyon> ok then why have a forum when we have the senate hall, talk pages, and IRC..?  
.:3-19-01am.: <JustinGann> like the conjecture vehicle discussion, was that appropriate to Senate Hall  
.:3-19-10am.: <Darth\_Cow> ...  
.:3-19-19am.: <@Sikon> ah, you mean a "normal" forum  
.:3-19-19am.: <+RMF|> Justin: yes  
.:3-19-22am.: <@Jaymach> the IRC channel is for general discussions really  
.:3-19-25am.: <@Sikon> powered by a forum engine?  
.:3-19-26am.: <JustinGann> ok good  
.:3-19-29am.: <@Jaymach> when it's not used for Meetings  
.:3-19-44am.: <@Jaymach> a Wiki is not a place for a general forum, tbh  
.:3-19-47am.: <+LtNOWIS> I think one big page that gets archived is better than a new page for each question. Than it just keeps getting archived, like a talk page.  
.:3-19-51am.: <KeiranHalcyon> seems to me like we are trying to beat a rancor with 20 gammoreans instead of one heavy turbolaser battery...

.:3-19-59am.: <+RMF|> IRC channel is general discussion and also a place for newbies to ask for help

.:3-20-01am.: <@Jaymach> we could have a seperate one, which was not on the Wookieepedia itself

.:3-20-02am.: <JustinGann> ill let you beat rancors alone

.:3-20-11am.: <Darth\_Cow> It's like IMing, except the topic is always Star Wars

.:3-20-20am.: <@Jaymach> but I don't believe we should have an actual forum on the Wookieepedia itself

.:3-20-26am.: <JustinGann> you IM about things other than SW?

.:3-20-27am.: <@Azizlight> nah

.:3-20-29am.: <Darth\_Cow> and Wookieepedia

.:3-20-32am.: <@SillyDan> Jaymach: can't we let TFN take care of that for uys?

.:3-20-35am.: <@Sikon> not to mention that Wikia wouldn't host it

.:3-20-56am.: <@Jaymach> SD: yes, but if enough people ask we could easily create a free forum

.:3-20-58am.: <@jSarek> Yeah, but one big page very quickly gets unwieldy. That's why Consensus Track was split off in the first place - big consensus-building discussions filled up so much space on the page.

.:3-21-08am.: <+RMF|> I think the only possible solution is for us to come down harder on Senate Hall violaters

.:3-21-13am.: <+RMF|> \*violaters

.:3-21-22am.: <@StarNeptune> Agree

.:3-21-23am.: <Darth\_Cow> yeah

.:3-21-27am.: <@Sikon> yes

.:3-21-34am.: <@Kuralyov> yes

.:3-21-34am.: <KeiranHalcyon> agreed RMF.....since combining them isnt an option

.:3-21-41am.: <@jSarek> RMF: Agreed.

.:3-21-43am.: <@Jaymach> I don't believe anything longer than a week ban should be used for Senate Hall problems, however

.:3-21-48am.: <@Sikon> by the way, the SH needs some archiving

.:3-21-49am.: <Darth\_Cow> if it's bad, they know it

.:3-21-57am.: <@MarcK> I like the big obnoxious red "GO SOMEWHERE ELSE TO DISCUSS STAR WARS IN GENERAL" banner idea

.:3-22-00am.: <KeiranHalcyon> jaymach: agreed, once again

.:3-22-01am.: <Darth\_Cow> Archives! Yeah

.:3-22-07am.: <+LtNOWIS> Or a warning template

.:3-22-07am.: <Darth\_Cow> great

.:3-22-09am.: <JustinGann> ok so we are all agreed

.:3-22-10am.: <+RMF|> I'm in favor of shorter bans in general... but we already discussed that :)

.:3-22-14am.: <Darth\_Cow> uh-huh

.:3-22-36am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> GET THE \*\*\*\* OUT OF HERE ANONS! seems a litle harsh though

.:3-22-37am.: <@jSarek> Or, we could just accept that some inanity is inevitable there and do our best to keep it pithy and move on.

.:3-22-43am.: <+LtNOWIS> Like {{Senate1}} which would be a polite warning about Senate Hall misuse

.:3-22-49am.: <+LtNOWIS> and so forth

.:3-22-53am.: <@Sikon> good idea

.:3-22-57am.: <JustinGann> agreed



.:3-22-58am:. <@MarcK> that sounds good  
.:3-22-59am:. <@Sikon> and it will go on the user talk page  
.:3-23-00am:. <@Jaymach> so is it agreed that the Senate Hall should only be used for site-related queries? article-related queries should be on that articles page, and general discussion can be held elsewhere?  
.:3-23-01am:. <+RMF|> to be subst'ed, naturally :-)  
.:3-23-06am:. <Darth\_Cow> slow down  
.:3-23-09am:. <Darth\_Cow> lol  
.:3-23-10am:. <@Sikon> Jaymach> yes  
.:3-23-11am:. <@SillyDan> OffTopic: a user I banned for personal attacks just created a sock  
.:3-23-13am:. <KeiranHalcyon> Sarek.....lol.....and agreed  
.:3-23-19am:. <Adamwankenobi> Hi jSarek  
.:3-23-27am:. <JustinGann> well, if its relative to the site, i dont think it needs a warning  
.:3-23-31am:. <@Sikon> SillyDan> are you sure it's a sock?  
.:3-23-36am:. <JustinGann> maybe a friendly note, "This is what this page is for"  
.:3-23-47am:. <@jSarek> Hi Adam.  
.:3-23-48am:. \* Quits: Adamwankenobi (n=0c9a6a2a@box6.tpa.wikia-inc.com) (Read error: 104 (Connection reset by peer))  
.:3-23-55am:. <@jSarek> Bye Adam.  
.:3-23-56am:. <Darth\_Cow> lol  
.:3-24-00am:. <Darth\_Cow> lol  
.:3-24-00am:. <JustinGann> theres a difference bw misplace but relevant stuff and irrelevant stuff  
.:3-24-00am:. <@MarcK> it should also include links to TFN, TOS and the like  
.:3-24-01am:. <@Azizlight> that was weird  
.:3-24-05am:. <Darth\_Cow> lol  
.:3-24-27am:. <JustinGann> so are we in concensus  
.:3-24-30am:. <@Jaymach> I agree with the template to be placed on people's talk page too  
.:3-24-35am:. <JustinGann> or do i need to pull out the Gun of Command  
.:3-24-41am:. <@Azizlight> lol  
.:3-24-42am:. <@jSarek> Some questions don't belong on just one page, but are article related.  
.:3-24-50am:. <@jSarek> Those should probably go there, too.  
.:3-24-58am:. <@SillyDan> Sikon: he just signed a talk page using his old username  
.:3-24-59am:. <JustinGann> i agree with jSarek on that  
.:3-25-05am:. <Darth\_Cow> I see that a lot; on SH instead of talk  
.:3-25-07am:. <@Jaymach> if it's just not possible to place it there, then yes it would be accepted on the Senate Hall  
.:3-25-17am:. <Darth\_Cow> yes  
.:3-25-38am:. <@jSarek> SD: To quote the wisdom of the Daleks, "Exterminate! Exterminate! Exterminate!"  
.:3-25-42am:. <Darth\_Cow> yeah  
.:3-25-44am:. <Darth\_Cow> lol  
.:3-25-49am:. <+RMF|> In particular, Darthvaderrisesagain and all his sockpuppets need warning  
.:3-25-56am:. <Darth\_Cow> YES  
.:3-26-00am:. <@SillyDan> those are socks?

.:3-26-01am.: <JustinGann> jSarek: you will have to explain that one to me privately later

.:3-26-02am.: <Darth\_Cow> VERY

.:3-26-04am.: <@Sikon> I'd prefer banning all his socks except one

.:3-26-09am.: <Darth\_Cow> lol

.:3-26-17am.: <+RMF|> unfortunately, we can't prove they are socks

.:3-26-20am.: <KeiranHalcyon> ok everyone its been fun but this is taking much longer then i expected....i will need to set aside more time for the next mofference....its nice meeting everyone and see ya next time.

.:3-26-27am.: <@Sikon> we can ask Wikia to checkuser them

.:3-26-28am.: \* @Jaymach coughs

.:3-26-29am.: <@Kuralyov> JG: A sci-fi fan who doesn't know Doctor Who!?

.:3-26-30am.: <JustinGann> bye Keiran

.:3-26-31am.: <+RMF|> Wikia won't CheckUser unless vandalism has been performed

.:3-26-47am.: <@Jaymach> so are we in consensus about the Senate Hall usage, and should we move back to Sikon's topics?

.:3-26-48am.: <JustinGann> Kuralyov: Ill have to get the DVD

.:3-26-50am.: <+RMF|> this isn't really vandaliism

.:3-26-54am.: <JustinGann> Jay: yes

.:3-26-56am.: <Darth\_Cow> wow! This mofference has been long!

.:3-26-57am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> Not from Britain

.:3-27-02am.: <JustinGann> no im not

.:3-27-03am.: <@jSarek> Bye Keiran.

.:3-27-05am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> Don't crucify me!

.:3-27-08am.: <Darth\_Cow> hour and a half

.:3-27-08am.: <@StarNeptune> They usually run 2 hours

.:3-27-09am.: <@Sikon> by the way, how late I was?

.:3-27-14am.: <+RMF|> an hour

.:3-27-15am.: <Darth\_Cow> ...

.:3-27-17am.: <@MarcK> hour and a half?

.:3-27-22am.: <@Sikon> that's strange

.:3-27-26am.: <JustinGann> lets go back to Sikon's topic

.:3-27-29am.: <@MarcK> wtf, it started half an hour ago according to the time chart

.:3-27-31am.: <@Sikon> my topics?

.:3-27-34am.: <JustinGann> about the improvement

.:3-27-35am.: <@Jaymach> I believe the meeting acrually started early by an hour

.:3-27-36am.: <@Azizlight> the times are wrong

.:3-27-37am.: <@Sikon> MarcK> yes

.:3-27-37am.: <@MarcK> bastards, I would've been late even if I was on time

.:3-27-47am.: <@MarcK> </Yogi Berra>

.:3-27-49am.: <+RMF|> lol.

.:3-27-56am.: <@jSarek> Ah, the times are wrong . . . that explains it!

.:3-27-57am.: \* Parts: KeiranHalcyon (n=44aef746@box6.tpa.wikia-inc.com)

.:3-28-04am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> Aaah!

.:3-28-06am.: <Darth\_Cow> I was here on time...?

.:3-28-11am.: <JustinGann> me 2

.:3-28-12am.: <Darth\_Cow> Was I wrong?!!

.:3-28-17am.: <Darth\_Cow> hmmm...

.:3-28-20am.: <@StarNeptune> My time was correct

.:3-28-23am.: <@Jaymach> Sikon: "The improvement drive clutter and its possible separation into several topic-related improvement drives, like on Wikipedia and Uncyclopedia."

.:3-28-24am.: <+LtNOWIS> I've been here for around 90 minutes now

.:3-28-25am.: \* +RMF| shrugs

.:3-28-29am.: <@Jaymach> can you explain what you meant by this?

.:3-28-29am.: <@Sikon> yes

.:3-28-30am.: <@jSarek> Eh, we're here now, that's what matters. :-)

.:3-28-34am.: <+RMF|> water under the bridge, at this point

.:3-28-38am.: <JustinGann> yes, Sikon, explain

.:3-28-40am.: <@Sikon> I wanted to discuss having multiple IDs

.:3-28-49am.: \* Joins: linuxerist (n=kvinc@adsl-152-253-220.asm.bellsouth.net)

.:3-28-49am.: <JustinGann> ok

.:3-28-52am.: <Darth\_Cow> yeah

.:3-28-57am.: <Darth\_Cow> important

.:3-29-00am.: <@jSarek> Greetings linuxerist.

.:3-29-01am.: <JustinGann> Sikon: how did you want to break that down

.:3-29-02am.: <whop> indeed

.:3-29-06am.: \* Parts: linuxerist (n=kvinc@adsl-152-253-220.asm.bellsouth.net) ("So Long, and Thanks for All the Fish!")

.:3-29-07am.: <@Sikon> by topics

.:3-29-09am.: <whop> Hello Linuxerist

.:3-29-16am.: <Darth\_Cow> lol

.:3-29-18am.: <JustinGann> Sikon: ok thats what we guessed you meant

.:3-29-19am.: <@Sikon> for example, Character ID, Starship ID, Technology ID

.:3-29-24am.: <@MarcK> no clue who that guy was, but I like the HHG reference

.:3-29-25am.: <JustinGann> yay!

.:3-29-29am.: <@jSarek> I should quit saying hello . . . it sends them running.

.:3-29-34am.: <+RMF|> given the low levels of involvement as is, I don't think fragmenting them would help

.:3-29-36am.: <@Jaymach> ah so SillyDan was correct :)

.:3-29-36am.: <Darth\_Cow> lol

.:3-29-38am.: <@Jaymach> and I like that idea

.:3-29-44am.: <JustinGann> RMF: I disagree

.:3-29-45am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> How many ID's though?

.:3-29-52am.: <Darth\_Cow> ...

.:3-29-53am.: <JustinGann> when i see, improvement, i feel overwhelmed

.:3-30-01am.: <@MarcK> OOU ID's would be a must

.:3-30-05am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> I think breaking them down would help

.:3-30-06am.: <JustinGann> if i saw: Alien Race improvement, i'd think: playground!

.:3-30-10am.: <@jSarek> I think the ID of the week should go up on the top of the pages like the Mofference info does.

.:3-30-21am.: <@Sikon> hmm...

.:3-30-28am.: <+RMF|> No

.:3-30-28am.: <@Jaymach> that would be possible

.:3-30-29am.: <JustinGann> and i meant that in a good way

.:3-30-29am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> I don't know about that

.:3-30-37am.: <@Sikon> sitenotices are only for extraordinary events

.:3-30-39am.: <Darth\_Cow> The mofference thing is IN THE WAY. It bugs me

.:3-30-39am:. <@Jaymach> I'm not sure if I'd like it...but it would be possible  
.:3-30-42am:. <Cull\_Tremayne> Would probably send people that come just for info running  
.:3-30-49am:. <+RMF|> that's somewhat distracting and unprofessional to use it in that manner  
.:3-30-54am:. <@Jaymach> Darth\_Cow: it got you here though  
.:3-30-55am:. <@Azizlight> Multiple IDs might work if we extend the improvement period... like until the article is actually good  
.:3-30-58am:. <@Jaymach> and got many others here also  
.:3-31-02am:. <Darth\_Cow> well...  
.:3-31-04am:. <@SillyDan> Aziz: yes!  
.:3-31-18am:. <@MarcK> mmm  
.:3-31-19am:. <JustinGann> do we have an agreed upon definition of "actually good"  
.:3-31-26am:. <Darth\_Cow> ?  
.:3-31-27am:. <@Azizlight> no :-)  
.:3-31-31am:. <Darth\_Cow> No such thing  
.:3-31-33am:. <@Sikon> feature-quality? %)  
.:3-31-33am:. <@Jaymach> no, but we'd need to get one :P  
.:3-31-35am:. <Cull\_Tremayne> Just like we have a definition on when Consensus is reached  
.:3-31-50am:. <@Sikon> but then IDs will be running forever  
.:3-31-51am:. <@jSarek> I dunno, the time limit I think kind of encourages people to put more effort into getting the improvements done in a timely fashion.  
.:3-31-53am:. <@MarcK> that just made me remember something  
.:3-31-56am:. <@MarcK> I'll bring it up later  
.:3-31-58am:. <+LtNOWIS> Isn't that what "We're doomed!" tags were for?  
.:3-32-05am:. <JustinGann> i hate those  
.:3-32-09am:. <Darth\_Cow> I know  
.:3-32-11am:. <@Sikon> heh, nobody cares about them :(  
.:3-32-20am:. <JustinGann> does Tatooine still have one  
.:3-32-22am:. <@MarcK> what's wrong with We're Doomed?  
.:3-32-28am:. <+LtNOWIS> They worked for the main heroes in the early days.  
.:3-32-29am:. <Darth\_Cow> In the way  
.:3-32-39am:. <Darth\_Cow> and stuff  
.:3-32-44am:. <Darth\_Cow> lol  
.:3-32-45am:. <@Azizlight> We're Doomed is another good example of annoying obtrusive tags  
.:3-32-51am:. <@Sikon> the Swarm War still has one, I believe  
.:3-32-51am:. <Darth\_Cow> yeah  
.:3-32-56am:. <Cull\_Tremayne> Yeah, anyone can tell when the article is lacking  
.:3-32-59am:. <@Jaymach> so should we have multiple IDrive's, with no time limit on them?  
.:3-33-03am:. <@MarcK>  
[http://starwars.wikia.com/wiki/Category:We%27re\\_Doomed%21](http://starwars.wikia.com/wiki/Category:We%27re_Doomed%21)  
.:3-33-07am:. <Cull\_Tremayne> Though it probably helps in Categorization  
.:3-33-10am:. <JustinGann> why does Tatooine still have a Doomed tag  
.:3-33-13am:. <Cull\_Tremayne> you got it  
.:3-33-15am:. <@MarcK> there should be a time limit still, just longer  
.:3-33-16am:. <JustinGann> the article is huge and very thorough

.:3-33-17am:. <@SillyDan> some of the "doomed" articles are slightly substandard now

.:3-33-22am:. <@Sikon> I think we should issue a CT on that

.:3-33-27am:. <+LtNOWIS> Slave I doesn't really need one...

.:3-33-29am:. <@jSarek> Azis: But those SHOULD be obtrusive.

.:3-33-37am:. <Darth\_Cow> well...

.:3-33-37am:. <@MarcK> maybe multiples, each with a month limit

.:3-33-40am:. <@Jaymach> Sikon: A CT on your topic?

.:3-33-50am:. <@Sikon> on multiple IDs

.:3-33-58am:. <@Jaymach> okay

.:3-34-03am:. <Cull\_Tremayne> And then my head exploded

.:3-34-08am:. <Darth\_Cow> lol

.:3-34-13am:. <@Jaymach> so should we discuss whether or not to let them run until the article is "good" in here, or in a CT also?

.:3-34-16am:. <@Sikon> "a splode", be canonical :)

.:3-34-22am:. <Darth\_Cow> lol

.:3-34-24am:. <@Sikon> there as well

.:3-34-26am:. <@Azizlight> the only problem with no time limits on IDs is that... people might not bother improving it at all, especially if there a a lot of them

.:3-34-32am:. <Darth\_Cow> yeah

.:3-34-36am:. <@jSarek> Redlinks and short source lists don't make an article terrible. Being terrible DOES make an article terrible. The tag should reflect the urgency of rectifying what it indicates.

.:3-34-37am:. <@Sikon> true

.:3-34-41am:. <@Jaymach> so shall we move on to Sikon's next topic?

.:3-34-46am:. <whop> yes

.:3-34-57am:. <Darth\_Cow> what is it?

.:3-35-04am:. <@Sikon> well, the sourceless thing has been resolved

.:3-35-05am:. <@Jaymach> "Sourceless articles: organizing the sourcing, verification, and fanon elimination process. Probably some sort of a "sourceless patrol", which can include non-admins, adding sources to articles wherever possible."

.:3-35-09am:. <Darth\_Cow> ahh

.:3-35-14am:. <@Jaymach> it has?

.:3-35-17am:. <@Sikon>  
[http://starwars.wikia.com/wiki/Wookieepedia:WookieeProject\\_Verifiability](http://starwars.wikia.com/wiki/Wookieepedia:WookieeProject_Verifiability)

.:3-35-23am:. <@Sikon> in a way

.:3-35-23am:. <JustinGann> well if anyone sees a sourcless planet

.:3-35-25am:. <JustinGann> let me know

.:3-35-33am:. <@Sikon> by replacing sources with verify

.:3-35-37am:. <Darth\_Cow> We should bug the creator until they explode

.:3-35-38am:. <@Jaymach> so shall we skip that topic?

.:3-35-44am:. <@MarcK> I have one

.:3-35-45am:. <JustinGann> i still want to know why Tatooine is Doomed

.:3-35-47am:. <Darth\_Cow> next time?

.:3-35-49am:. <@Jaymach> or shall we discuss making a "sourceless patrol"?

.:3-35-59am:. <Darth\_Cow> second one

.:3-36-03am:. <JustinGann> it has the most detailed history of any planet we have

.:3-36-04am:. <@Sikon> JustinGann> maybe because it's about to be blasted?

.:3-36-05am:. <JustinGann> i think

.:3-36-13am:. <@Jaymach> Justin: bring it up on the Tatooine page and, if nobody can think of a reason, remove the tag

.:3-36-18am:. <JustinGann> ok

.:3-36-29am:. <Darth\_Cow> that worksd

.:3-36-36am:. <@Jaymach> so shall we discuss a sourceless patrol or move on?

.:3-36-48am:. <@Azizlight> sorry to go off topic, but was that new planet infobox ever implemented?

.:3-36-49am:. <JustinGann> ummmm

.:3-36-57am:. <JustinGann> i volunteer for sourceless patrol

.:3-36-57am:. <@jSarek> I think we should have a "sourceless drive" to get some of our backlog caught up.

.:3-37-00am:. <@Jaymach> RMF, I believe, is still working on it :)

.:3-37-00am:. <@Sikon> a "sourceless patrol" will be people involved in that WookieeProject

.:3-37-04am:. <JustinGann> ok

.:3-37-15am:. <+RMF|> what?

.:3-37-17am:. <@jSarek> Once we do that, now that sources are de rigueur here, we should stay on top of them a bit better than the early days.

.:3-37-19am:. <@Jaymach> so should we bring some sort of awareness about the project?

.:3-37-22am:. <JustinGann> as a guilty party, i volunteer for whatever we decide

.:3-37-26am:. <@Sikon> we just need to give it some exposure

.:3-37-27am:. <@Jaymach> RMF: the new planet infobox

.:3-37-28am:. <+RMF|> the new planet infobox is implemented

.:3-37-31am:. <@Sikon> with an SH topic, maybe

.:3-37-36am:. <+RMF|> via the old one, with more fields

.:3-37-37am:. <@Jaymach> even the one with flora/fauna? :P

.:3-37-38am:. <+RMF|> \*fields

.:3-37-41am:. <@Azizlight> cool

.:3-37-53am:. <+RMF|> now that they auto-hide (even by omission) we can include more fields

.:3-37-56am:. <+LtNOWIS> Should we VfD sourceless articles that are really old?

.:3-37-59am:. <@Sikon> Tatooine still uses the deprecated dating stile

.:3-38-05am:. <JustinGann> ok as long as the flora/fauna ones for Naboo and Tatooine just have a link to a separate bage

.:3-38-05am:. <@Sikon> I think yes

.:3-38-07am:. <+RMF|> just not extremely esoteric fields, such as flora/fauna :P

.:3-38-11am:. <JustinGann> deprecated dating style?

.:3-38-21am:. <@Sikon> "X BBY, this and that happened"

.:3-38-25am:. <@jSarek> I think we just created a new tag to delete old sourceless articles without VfD.

.:3-38-28am:. <@Sikon> instead of "In X BBY"

.:3-38-51am:. <JustinGann> well ill fix that

.:3-39-05am:. <+LtNOWIS> jSarek: Good idea

.:3-39-12am:. <Darth\_Cow> patrol

.:3-39-12am:. <Darth\_Cow> patrol

.:3-39-13am:. <Darth\_Cow> lol

.:3-39-19am:. <@Sikon> maybe we should create a CSD-like page, "Sourceless for deletion"?

.:3-39-20am.: \* Quits: Darth\_Cow (n=43ab8f4a@box6.tpa.wikia-inc.com) (Remote closed the connection)

.:3-39-23am.: <@StarNeptune> Back on topic

.:3-39-32am.: <@jSarek> LtNOWIS: The drive, or the tag?

.:3-39-39am.: <@MarcK> [http://starwars.wikia.com/wiki/Category:Sourceless\\_tagged](http://starwars.wikia.com/wiki/Category:Sourceless_tagged)

.:3-39-42am.: <+LtNOWIS> the tag

.:3-39-51am.: <@Sikon> MarcK> that one is irrelevant, actually

.:3-40-12am.: <@Sikon> I created it so I would have a smaller, manageable subset of Sourceless

.:3-40-31am.: <@Sikon> I thought articles would be edited gradually

.:3-40-48am.: <@Sikon> then someone edited all articles in Sourceless and sent them all into Sourceless tagged

.:3-40-55am.: <@jSarek> Hmm, interesting. So we can delete everything that's been tagged for more than a week? Sweet, that might help.

.:3-40-59am.: <JustinGann> ok i fixed the Tatoon dates

.:3-41-08am.: <@SillyDan> yep

.:3-41-29am.: <JustinGann> never delete a planet without running it by me;)

.:3-41-30am.: <@Jaymach> I think it should be a month, personally

.:3-41-38am.: <@jSarek> Actually . . . having a drive would be a great idea.

.:3-41-42am.: <+LtNOWIS> I think a separate VfD-style page might be good for sourceless stuff

.:3-41-43am.: <JustinGann> yeah

.:3-41-44am.: <+RMF|> 2 weeks?

.:3-41-45am.: <@Kuralyov> i back month also

.:3-41-47am.: <@Jaymach> to give less active users a chance to source their articles

.:3-41-51am.: <+LtNOWIS> So people can look at the stuff before it dies.

.:3-41-57am.: <@Azizlight> everyone knows not to delete a planet that has your name on it, Justin :-)

.:3-42-02am.: <@jSarek> A lot of things I don't source because they're not in my sources, but if we're all working on it, perhaps communicating here in IRC, SOMEONE should have the relevant sources.

.:3-42-03am.: <@Sikon> well, there's [[Wookieepedia:Sourceless pages]]

.:3-42-04am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> I vote for a month

.:3-42-11am.: <JustinGann> can we have a side colum link to the Sourceless / VFD

.:3-42-23am.: <@jSarek> For instance, I can't source "House of Tion" - I don't own the Marvels. But somebody does . . .

.:3-42-31am.: <@MarcK> what I usually do is simply check CUSWE's sources

.:3-42-34am.: <JustinGann> you mean House of Tagge

.:3-42-35am.: <+LtNOWIS> Maybe. A subset of VfD, perhaps?

.:3-42-39am.: <@Sikon> MarcK> so do I

.:3-42-51am.: <@Azizlight> CUSWE is God

.:3-42-56am.: <@Sikon> well, I don't think VFD is that cluttered

.:3-42-57am.: <JustinGann> no its not

.:3-43-02am.: <@SillyDan> not at all

.:3-43-05am.: <@Jaymach> yes, I believe admins should check CUSWE before deleting sourceless articles

.:3-43-07am.: <@SillyDan> maybe a bit slow moving

.:3-43-09am.: <@jSarek> I do that occasionally, but I prefer to check the originals when possible. Even Bob Vitas can err.

.:3-43-10am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> barren

.:3-43-14am.: <@Jaymach> it's not hard, and it means we could give it a source  
.:3-43-20am.: <JustinGann> if that doesnt work, check Jaymach  
.:3-43-21am.: <@Azizlight> so can God ;\_0  
.:3-43-25am.: <@Sikon> what I usually do is check CUSWE, check Google, delete obvious stuff, and then there remain very few articles that I put on VFD  
.:3-43-28am.: <@SillyDan> Yes, I've noticed one or two errors he's made  
.:3-43-30am.: <JustinGann> Jaymach has some stuff CUSWE doesnt  
.:3-43-39am.: <@Jaymach> but I always mark my stuff :P  
.:3-43-43am.: <@Sikon> but it's fanon :)  
.:3-43-45am.: <@MarcK> Jaymach has things that were never made  
.:3-43-47am.: <@Jaymach> so you generally don't need to check it  
.:3-43-47am.: <@Azizlight> yeah, it's not COMPLETE, but still awesome  
.:3-43-48am.: <@SillyDan> Justin: hardly any one else does either  
.:3-43-50am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> right, but since most of it is non-canon sources  
.:3-43-52am.: <@MarcK> it's MAGIC  
.:3-43-58am.: <@Jaymach> I actually do have some material that was never published  
.:3-44-03am.: <JustinGann> also, CUSWE is very incomplete when it comes to Video game continuity  
.:3-44-04am.: <@Jaymach> quite a lot of it, really  
.:3-44-09am.: <@Sikon>  
[http://starwars.wikia.com/wiki/Wookieepedia:WookieeProject\\_Verifiability/Finding\\_sources](http://starwars.wikia.com/wiki/Wookieepedia:WookieeProject_Verifiability/Finding_sources)  
.:3-44-17am.: <@Sikon> ^ please put your ideas here  
.:3-44-26am.: <@MarcK> oooh, a Firefox plugin  
.:3-44-28am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> but the stuff it does have  
.:3-44-31am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> dang  
.:3-44-31am.: <@Jaymach> so, for the sake of the meeting, shall we move onto another topic?  
.:3-44-37am.: <@Azizlight> yes  
.:3-44-39am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> please  
.:3-44-42am.: <@Sikon> I guess so  
.:3-44-46am.: \* @MarcK raises hand  
.:3-44-53am.: <JustinGann> if you are checking for game continuity, this site might help:  
.:3-44-58am.: <@Jaymach> we can voice our opinions on the WookieeProject page afterwards :)  
.:3-45-03am.: <@Sikon> true  
.:3-45-05am.: <@SillyDan> new features of MediaWiki 1.7 is the next topic  
.:3-45-13am.: <@Jaymach> another of Sikon's topics to explain :P  
.:3-45-22am.: <@Sikon> the thing is, when I put the list  
.:3-45-26am.: <JustinGann> <http://members.tripod.com/Tiger887/EmpireBattles.html>  
.:3-45-32am.: <whop> how long is this meeting  
.:3-45-32am.: <@Sikon> it was what was actual \_then\_  
.:3-45-42am.: <+RMF|> 4-5 hours  
.:3-45-43am.: <JustinGann> MediaWiki 1.7  
.:3-45-47am.: <JustinGann> ok yeah explain please  
.:3-45-48am.: <whop> ok  
.:3-45-51am.: <@jSarek> whop: Until we quit. ;-)  
.:3-45-58am.: <whop> :)  
.:3-45-59am.: <@Jaymach> Sikon: if the topic is no longer valid, we can move on



.:3-46-07am:. <JustinGann> only when the Moffship crashes, Jaymach  
.:3-46-12am:. <@jSarek> Normally it runs about two hours, but we've gone a long time without one, so we've got a backlog to work through.  
.:3-46-15am:. <+RMF|> the only thing that's valid I believe is the article semi-protection  
.:3-46-19am:. <+RMF|> \*protection  
.:3-46-24am:. <@Sikon> yep  
.:3-46-33am:. <JustinGann> well i think we can all agree to that  
.:3-46-38am:. <@Sikon> we somewhat discussed it on the previous semi-meeting  
.:3-46-43am:. <+RMF|> we're already using param default and SVGs don't really need to be discussed  
.:3-46-48am:. <+RMF|> yes we did  
.:3-46-58am:. <@Sikon> so I guess we can move on  
.:3-47-05am:. <@Jaymach> okay :)  
.:3-47-12am:. <@Jaymach> in which case it's RMF's topic  
.:3-47-20am:. \* +RMF| goes to look  
.:3-47-24am:. <+LtNOWIS> Oh man, the featured article just changed  
.:3-47-24am:. <@Jaymach> "Inefficient and over-the-top custom signatures"  
.:3-47-28am:. <+RMF|> ah  
.:3-47-37am:. <@jSarek> Kill any sigs with line breaks!  
.:3-47-41am:. <@Sikon> yep  
.:3-47-42am:. <@MarcK> if only Darth Cow was still here  
.:3-47-42am:. <@Kuralyov> Erl is the biggest transgressor in this area  
.:3-47-48am:. <@Sikon> although occasionally I fix them  
.:3-47-50am:. <@Azizlight> lol  
.:3-47-54am:. <+RMF|> if I could kindly redirect your attention to my forum topic -- <http://starwars.wikia.com/wiki/Forum:Signatures>  
.:3-48-03am:. <@jSarek> Erl's doesn't bother me so much. It doesn't break up formatting like Cow's does.  
.:3-48-05am:. <@Sikon> that's why I urged people to move sigs to subpages  
.:3-48-06am:. <Cull\_Tremayne> I actually find Erl's pretty funny  
.:3-48-10am:. <@Sikon> so I can mess with them :)  
.:3-48-21am:. <@StarNeptune> Stake Black's used to screw up formatting, too  
.:3-48-24am:. <+RMF|> one of my biggest problems with these massive sigs is how they cloud the page source  
.:3-48-28am:. <@Jaymach> I personally think we should limit sigs to the users name, a talk page link and, if they wish, a single colour to use for the entire thing  
.:3-48-30am:. <+RMF|> the server overhead isn't that much of an issue  
.:3-48-39am:. <@StarNeptune> That's what I have for mine  
.:3-48-41am:. <+RMF|> images, however, need to be banned.  
.:3-48-42am:. <@Azizlight> cloud the page source?  
.:3-48-44am:. <@MarcK> you should see the guys at HRWiki  
.:3-48-49am:. <@StarNeptune> Name, color, and talk page link  
.:3-48-50am:. \* Joins: DarkSpork (i=dunc@electricrain.com)  
.:3-48-51am:. <@Sikon> yes, images should go  
.:3-48-52am:. \* Joins: Darth\_Cow (n=43ab8f4a@box6.tpa.wikia-inc.com)  
.:3-48-55am:. <@Jaymach> welcome Spork :)  
.:3-48-55am:. <@Sikon> ooooooh  
.:3-48-57am:. <@MarcK> everybody has the entire friggin pallet in their signatures  
.:3-48-59am:. <DarkSpork> Hey.

.:3-49-03am.: <DarkSpork> So how late am I?  
.:3-49-05am.: <@Azizlight> hey :-)  
.:3-49-06am.: <@Jaymach> very :P  
.:3-49-08am.: <@Jaymach> but that's okay  
.:3-49-11am.: <@MarcK> holy crap, speak of the...cow  
.:3-49-12am.: <@jSarek> Greetings Spork, Co. :-)  
.:3-49-14am.: <@Azizlight> a wizard is never late :-)  
.:3-49-17am.: <@Sikon> I think we've got the sig epidemic from Uncyc  
.:3-49-24am.: <DarkSpork> Oh god, I hate the fancy Nicks. Can we get rid of them?  
.:3-49-25am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> Frodo Baggins  
.:3-49-29am.: <+LtNOWIS> There are pretty harmless images, like Shadowtrooper's  
.:3-49-32am.: <@jSarek> Err, Cow.  
.:3-49-33am.: <@Jaymach> that's what we're discussing Spork :)  
.:3-49-36am.: <DarkSpork> Sorry Cow.  
.:3-49-36am.: <@Sikon> fancy nicks?  
.:3-49-37am.: <Darth\_Cow> heya  
.:3-49-43am.: <@SillyDan> fancy sigs, right?  
.:3-49-47am.: <DarkSpork> HATE.  
.:3-49-59am.: <Darth\_Cow> lol  
.:3-50-00am.: <@Azizlight> point taken :-)  
.:3-50-00am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> The Cow appears monstrous to me  
.:3-50-05am.: <DarkSpork> They break up the page and make me want to stab  
things.  
.:3-50-07am.: <Darth\_Cow> lol  
.:3-50-08am.: <@jSarek> A little fancy is okay. But the line breaks are killing me.  
.:3-50-11am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> but then again Cow's are carnivores  
.:3-50-20am.: <@MarcK> ...they are?  
.:3-50-23am.: <Darth\_Cow> Look it up  
.:3-50-27am.: <Darth\_Cow> lol  
.:3-50-32am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> Well a Darth Cow would be  
.:3-50-34am.: <+RMF|> across the board.  
.:3-50-34am.: <+RMF|> when you are editing the page, it is a mess of html tags and  
whatnot, instead of actual content  
.:3-50-34am.: <@Sikon> I think: username and no more than two links  
.:3-50-37am.: <@Jaymach> as I said before, I think we should limit it to user name,  
talk page link, and a single colour for the whole thing....but at the very least I believe  
we should ban images and too many colours  
.:3-50-37am.: <@jSarek> Cow's is over the top and obnoxious, but ultimately would  
be tolerable, I think, if it didn't break up lines.  
.:3-50-39am.: <@SillyDan> that's how you get mad cow disease  
.:3-50-40am.: <@MarcK> excellent point Cull  
.:3-50-47am.: \* Quits: +RMF| (n=Rmfitzge@pm3-bmia-214-lkgnoc-209-236-231-  
253.bella-mia.com) (Remote closed the connection)  
.:3-50-59am.: <Darth\_Cow> lol  
.:3-51-01am.: <DarkSpork> Getting rid of the images would do wonders.  
.:3-51-02am.: <@MarcK> whoops  
.:3-51-08am.: <Darth\_Cow> fine whatever I'll change it  
.:3-51-13am.: <@Azizlight> as long as they don't screw up formatiing and don't  
exceed one line... don't really see the problem. Talk pages look like crap anyway  
.:3-51-14am.: \* Parts: Darth\_Cow (n=43ab8f4a@box6.tpa.wikia-inc.com)

.:3-51-16am.: <@SillyDan> thanks, Darth Cow  
.:3-51-29am.: <@SillyDan> and he's left. d'oh  
.:3-51-34am.: \* Joins: RMF| (n=Rmfitzge@pm3-bmia-214-lkgnoc-209-236-231-253.bella-mia.com)  
.:3-51-35am.: <@MarcK> well that was abrupt  
.:3-51-39am.: <@Jaymach> so you don't think we should make limits Aziz?  
.:3-51-40am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> couldn't take the rejection  
.:3-51-47am.: <RMF|> my bad... connection dropped.  
.:3-51-48am.: <@Jaymach> besides that it doesn't mess things up  
.:3-51-51am.: <RMF|> what did I miss?  
.:3-51-56am.: <@Sikon> so I guess we can agree to at least remove images from sigs?  
.:3-52-00am.: <@MarcK> Darth Cow said he'd change his sig and then left  
.:3-52-03am.: <@SillyDan> images and linebreaks  
.:3-52-06am.: <@Azizlight> no large images  
.:3-52-13am.: <@MarcK> Shadowtrooper'd cry a river  
.:3-52-17am.: <@Sikon> welll, linebreaks are out of question  
.:3-52-19am.: <@MarcK> or so I imagine  
.:3-52-23am.: <@jSarek> Yeah, small images like Shadowtrooper's never bothered me.  
.:3-52-25am.: <@Azizlight> small images are ok  
.:3-52-28am.: <@Sikon> then I suggest we CT it  
.:3-52-32am.: <@Azizlight> yeah  
.:3-52-34am.: \* Quits: DarthMalus (n=45d74a8b@box6.tpa.wikia-inc.com) (Read error: 104 (Connection reset by peer))  
.:3-52-49am.: <@Jaymach> they don't so much bother me as much as I think we should set a precedent  
.:3-52-56am.: <+LtNOWIS> Maybe draw up some explicit guidelines  
.:3-52-58am.: <@Jaymach> atm, people could really have small images of porn in their sigs  
.:3-53-01am.: <JustinGann> i have a question  
.:3-53-03am.: <@Jaymach> we have no rules against that  
.:3-53-06am.: <RMF|> images should definitely go  
.:3-53-14am.: <JustinGann> nix the images  
.:3-53-15am.: <@Sikon> JustinGann> you're welcome :)  
.:3-53-17am.: <@SillyDan> we could vote on images  
.:3-53-19am.: <@Azizlight> obvoiusly they would be banned (porn)  
.:3-53-28am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> of course  
.:3-53-28am.: <@Sikon> lol  
.:3-53-31am.: <JustinGann> Sikon: ?  
.:3-53-37am.: <+LtNOWIS> Do we have any content guidelines?  
.:3-53-39am.: <@SillyDan> one or two people don't mind small, signature-appropriate images  
.:3-53-42am.: <@jSarek> Hmm . . . a porn sig! Why didn't I think of that?!  
.:3-53-45am.: <@jSarek> ;-)  
.:3-53-47am.: <@Jaymach> we don't actually have content guidelines :P  
.:3-53-47am.: <@Azizlight> lol  
.:3-53-48am.: <@Sikon> well, you said you have a question  
.:3-53-50am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> be fairly hard to see anything in those small boxes  
.:3-53-56am.: <JustinGann> oh  
.:3-53-57am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> maybe gerbil porn or something

..:3-54-02am.: <JustinGann> well ill ask you later  
..:3-54-05am.: <+LtNOWIS> O\_0  
..:3-54-05am.: <@MarcK> I think some guy spammed Tubgirl one time  
..:3-54-07am.: <@Sikon> we don't, that's why half our long articles are overly poetic  
..:3-54-08am.: <@Azizlight> weirdo  
..:3-54-10am.: <@Azizlight> :-)  
..:3-54-11am.: <@MarcK> or maybe Goatse  
..:3-54-13am.: <DarkSpork> Watch folks start breaking out the Shabbyblue gifs. :P  
..:3-54-25am.: <@Jaymach> so should we make a CT on the signature issue?  
..:3-54-25am.: <JustinGann> i vote no images  
..:3-54-27am.: <@MarcK> Shabbyblue?  
..:3-54-28am.: <@MarcK> never heard of that one  
..:3-54-32am.: <@Sikon> porn spamming is less annoying than wheels  
..:3-54-35am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> SW porn artist  
..:3-54-36am.: <@Jaymach> discussing what people should and shouldn't be allowed  
to do  
..:3-54-36am.: <@Azizlight> oh yeah... no animated gifs either  
..:3-54-38am.: <DarkSpork> SW porn fanartist.  
..:3-54-40am.: <@MarcK> eugh  
..:3-54-45am.: <@jSarek> Jaymach: Aye, make it so.  
..:3-54-52am.: \* @MarcK imagines lekku sucking  
..:3-54-55am.: \* @MarcK shivers  
..:3-54-57am.: <@Sikon> Jaymach> I guess so  
..:3-55-01am.: <@Jaymach> so the next topic then? :P  
..:3-55-02am.: <@Sikon> goodnes  
..:3-55-02am.: <@Kuralyov> rofl  
..:3-55-03am.: <@Sikon> s  
..:3-55-09am.: <@Sikon> they stink!  
..:3-55-11am.: <@Jaymach> hmm  
..:3-55-13am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> Actually he's more of a Chewie/Leia guy... gross  
..:3-55-16am.: <@Jaymach> the next is Adamwankenobi's  
..:3-55-16am.: <@Sikon> (I think)  
..:3-55-18am.: <@Jaymach> and he's no longer here  
..:3-55-19am.: <@Azizlight> haha  
..:3-55-28am.: <@Jaymach> and...I don't much understand his topic either  
..:3-55-32am.: <@MarcK> shame for whoever has to give Chewie oral  
..:3-55-36am.: <@Sikon> by the way, do Wookiees have genitalia>  
..:3-55-39am.: <@Azizlight> current system seems ok to me  
..:3-55-40am.: <@Jaymach> he really should have expanded and complained more  
..:3-55-41am.: <JustinGann> what was the conclusion on the pics  
..:3-55-42am.: <@MarcK> hairballs for WEEKS  
..:3-55-50am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> according to Penny Arcade they do  
..:3-55-55am.: <@Azizlight> goes to CT  
..:3-55-58am.: <@Jaymach> Everyone: you remember this will be transcribed and  
available for anyone to view?  
..:3-56-01am.: <@Azizlight> lol at Cull  
..:3-56-03am.: <@SillyDan> OK, enough with the wookiee talk  
..:3-56-09am.: <@Sikon> oops  
..:3-56-13am.: <+LtNOWIS> I think there was a thing on TFN on Wookiee penises  
..:3-56-24am.: \* @MarcK still has a topic

..:3-56-35am.: <JustinGann> look, i know i cant control what other people put on their pages, but if someone puts a question on my talkpage with foul language or imagery, i dont respond to it

..:3-56-40am.: \* @SillyDan has lost the topic

..:3-56-45am.: <@Sikon> just delete it, meh

..:3-56-48am.: <@Jaymach> well we only have 2 more topics...so it's up to SillyDan and StarNeptune if they;d like to let you go first :)

..:3-56-49am.: <@Azizlight> oh... user images

..:3-57-02am.: <@Jaymach> the next topic, if we choose to go in order, is SillyDan's

..:3-57-08am.: <JustinGann> ok SillyDan

..:3-57-12am.: <@Jaymach> but we can switch to MarcK's if you like :P

..:3-57-14am.: <@SillyDan> I think we should restrict it to...say...two or three user images

..:3-57-19am.: <@Azizlight> let's make a limit of 5 images max or something

..:3-57-22am.: <@StarNeptune> 5

..:3-57-25am.: <@jSarek> Actually, this being a wiki, you CAN control what other people put on their pages. ]:->

..:3-57-31am.: <RMF|> yes, there should be a limit

..:3-57-44am.: <@SillyDan> this will discourage people from thinking the idea is to have an awesome super user page

..:3-57-47am.: <@Azizlight> and all undre 100K

..:3-57-49am.: <RMF|> I don't think we should go around editing other people's user pages though

..:3-57-50am.: <@Jaymach> I believe there should be a limit too

..:3-57-50am.: <@MarcK> first of all

..:3-57-51am.: <@StarNeptune> AT MOSt 5 images

..:3-57-52am.: <@MarcK> NO GIFS

..:3-57-57am.: <@jSarek> Angela said not ot worry about the room . . .

..:3-58-01am.: <JustinGann> well ive only got one b&w pic

..:3-58-08am.: <DarkSpork> wait, could I do, say, a gallery off all the stuff I've done as another page under my userinfo?

..:3-58-13am.: <@StarNeptune> It's not the space that worries me

..:3-58-16am.: <RMF|> it's not a question of database size, it's a question of them being relevant to the project

..:3-58-18am.: <@jSarek> SD: Ah, I see the point.

..:3-58-18am.: <@SillyDan> no one currently at this meeting is offending

..:3-58-20am.: <@Jaymach> Spork: Currently you can

..:3-58-20am.: <@Sikon> and I even think 5 is over the top

..:3-58-24am.: <@StarNeptune> it's the excessive display

..:3-58-30am.: <@Jaymach> but we're discussing whether or not to allow that sort of thing

..:3-58-44am.: <@Jaymach> the Wookieepedia really isn't an art gallery :)

..:3-58-46am.: <@Sikon> I'd prefer 2 or even 1

..:3-58-48am.: <@Jaymach> much as I love your images :P

..:3-58-49am.: <JustinGann> there was one userpage so well done i thought it was a fanon article and wanted to delete it

..:3-58-49am.: <@Azizlight> Sporky's stuff is cool... i'd allow it ;-)

..:3-58-52am.: <DarkSpork> Would a gallery be excessive display?

..:3-58-56am.: <RMF|> I think three is fair.

.:3-58-58am:. <@SillyDan> and reusing images which are used in normal articles is probably OK

.:3-59-02am:. <Cull\_Tremayne> Say you use pictures that can eventually be used in articles, does that change the limit

.:3-59-04am:. <RMF|> yes

.:3-59-08am:. <RMF|> (to SD)

.:3-59-09am:. <@StarNeptune> I don't know about you guys, but a Wookieepedia page should be realted to Wookieepedia (gasp!)

.:3-59-27am:. <@StarNeptune> Not having 15 billion pictures of you favorite animal

.:3-59-30am:. <Cull\_Tremayne> Not that I'm looking to make a super crazy User Page so I can take the WotM by storm

.:3-59-32am:. <DarkSpork> well, I already have an icon page. ;)

.:3-59-35am:. <RMF|> or massive fanfic bios

.:3-59-39am:. <@jSarek> Yeah, we can create an exception for anyone who has ever created an official logo for Wookieepedia. That'd give Whiteboy and Sporkie the right to do galleries. ;-)

.:3-59-40am:. <DarkSpork> ugh

.:3-59-41am:. <JustinGann> i cant put up a Hungry Hungry Hippos pic?

.:3-59-45am:. <@Azizlight> Does Wikipedia have any limits?

.:3-59-56am:. <@Sikon> does Wikipedia allow user images?

.:3-59-57am:. <DarkSpork> (ugh to fanfic bios)

.:3-59-59am:. <Cull\_Tremayne> Sporkie?

.:4-00-07am:. <@MarcK> <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WP:UP>

.:4-00-09am:. <@SillyDan> Didn't that John-1107 guy gett banned, in part, because of the excessiveness of his user page?

.:4-00-14am:. <RMF|> the sad thing is that User:Mike Kazz is our 6th most edited article

.:4-00-14am:. <DarkSpork> Sporkie. Sigh.

.:4-00-21am:. <RMF|> 659 edits

.:4-00-25am:. <@Azizlight> crap :-(

.:4-00-26am:. <DarkSpork> wow.

.:4-00-34am:. <Cull\_Tremayne> :0

.:4-00-34am:. <RMF|> 13.4 MB of wasted archive

.:4-00-37am:. <@StarNeptune> because he keeps editing it with useless crap

.:4-00-38am:. <DarkSpork> Dude, get a livejournal already.

.:4-00-39am:. <@SillyDan> or was that mostly due to the weird text content he put in it?

.:4-00-42am:. <@MarcK> Do not include non-free images (images uploaded to Wikipedia without the permission of the copyright owner, or under licenses that do not permit commercial use) on your user page or on any subpage thereof (this is official policy and the usual wide user page latitude does not apply, see Wikipedia:Fair use#Fair use policy for details). Non-free images found on a user page (including user talk pages) may be removed (preferably by replacing it w

.:4-00-45am:. <@MarcK> ohhhhhhhhhh crap

.:4-00-48am:. <@jSarek> Sorry. :::Rewrites above line to "Madamoiselle Spork Noir"::::

.:4-00-58am:. <JustinGann> ok

.:4-01-01am:. <JustinGann> what is the next topic

.:4-01-17am:. <+LtNOWIS> Wiki's big on copyrights.

.:4-01-19am:. <@Sikon> well, I guess photos of yourself qualify as free images

.:4-01-20am.: <@Azizlight> admin abuse  
.:4-01-26am.: <JustinGann> lol  
.:4-01-27am.: <@Azizlight> bullocks  
.:4-01-31am.: <JustinGann> admining the admins  
.:4-01-32am.: <@jSarek> We can't be, since every pic we use is technically a copyvio.  
.:4-01-43am.: <@Azizlight> what's the diff between admin abuse and wookieepedian abuse  
.:4-01-49am.: <@Jaymach> is this in relation to my editing of the ISDII page, or something else?  
.:4-01-54am.: <@Azizlight> oh  
.:4-01-57am.: <@StarNeptune> By admin abuse, I meant abuse of admin powers  
.:4-02-02am.: <@SillyDan> um...that might be an example?  
.:4-02-03am.: <@Sikon> ah, by the way  
.:4-02-04am.: <@StarNeptune> not abusing the admins  
.:4-02-08am.: <RMF|> lol.  
.:4-02-10am.: <@Azizlight> sorry, missed th epint :-)  
.:4-02-13am.: <JustinGann> what use is power if u dont abuse it  
.:4-02-21am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> Unlimited Power!  
.:4-02-24am.: <@MarcK> abusing the admins  
.:4-02-25am.: <@MarcK> ahahahaha  
.:4-02-26am.: <@Azizlight> i missed the point, that is :-)  
.:4-02-27am.: <RMF|> it's not possible to abuse the admins, you'll get banned immediately  
.:4-02-30am.: <RMF|> :P  
.:4-02-33am.: <@StarNeptune> lol  
.:4-02-41am.: <JustinGann> what would abusing the admins be?  
.:4-02-44am.: \* @MarcK imagines Sally Struthers standing in front of all the administrators  
.:4-02-46am.: <@Sikon> I'm fine with them, Wookieepedia is much less likely to be sued than Wikipedia  
.:4-02-46am.: <@Jaymach> so what's an example of admin abuse?  
.:4-02-56am.: <JustinGann> yeah  
.:4-03-03am.: <@StarNeptune> Well  
.:4-03-06am.: <@jSarek> I wish I could get abused . . . it's been far too long since I was last abused by someone. ;-)  
.:4-03-07am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> The Sally Struthers from SP or course  
.:4-03-09am.: <DarkSpork> banning someone just because you hate them, not because ofg behavior.  
.:4-03-10am.: <@MarcK> perma-banning people for minor offenses  
.:4-03-16am.: <@Kuralyov> I can just imagine "admin abuse" becoming the next Shabby Blue picture.  
.:4-03-18am.: <@StarNeptune> What they said  
.:4-03-22am.: <DarkSpork> Using power to keep an article slanted.  
.:4-03-29am.: <DarkSpork> That kind of thing.  
.:4-03-35am.: <RMF|> there is a policy on Wikipedia that admins are not supposed to ban people who make personal attacks on them  
.:4-03-37am.: <@jSarek> Have we had any examples of this yet?  
.:4-03-43am.: <@Jaymach> has that happened in the past?  
.:4-03-47am.: <RMF|> they are supposed to refer the matter to another admin to take a look at it

.:4-03-49am.: <@Jaymach> I mean there's a whole bunch of people I hate  
.:4-03-53am.: <JustinGann> haha  
.:4-04-00am.: <@SillyDan> I've banned people who attacked me and \*other\* people  
.:4-04-00am.: <@Jaymach> I admit I'm waiting to see them slip up, but as of now I've not banned any of them  
.:4-04-02am.: <@StarNeptune> Since there have been complaints about it, I decided to bring it up  
.:4-04-03am.: <RMF|> as it is now, if you insult an admin, you will get banned  
.:4-04-09am.: <RMF|> by the admin in question  
.:4-04-12am.: <@MarcK> if I could ban people for being annoying Mike Kazz'd be gone  
.:4-04-19am.: <@Jaymach> I've been insulted plenty :P  
.:4-04-20am.: <DarkSpork> I've banned folks who attacked me. Fun, really.  
.:4-04-28am.: \* @MarcK remembers that this will be archived  
.:4-04-29am.: <RMF|> which is obviously a conflict of interest  
.:4-04-32am.: <@MarcK> erm, kidding Mike  
.:4-04-33am.: <JustinGann> as for slanted articles, we should have a rule that Wikia is for canon info  
.:4-04-34am.: <RMF|> heh  
.:4-04-38am.: <JustinGann> not fan speculation  
.:4-04-42am.: <@Azizlight> yeah  
.:4-04-50am.: <JustinGann> however logical fan speculation may seem to many  
.:4-04-52am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> and the room suddenly shifts into an Admin side and a regular user side  
.:4-04-53am.: <DarkSpork> Well, slanted... Saxtonite, etc?  
.:4-04-56am.: <DarkSpork> Fannish matters.  
.:4-04-56am.: <RMF|> I wouldn't worry about Mike...  
<http://starwars.wikia.com/wiki/Image:Noooo%21%21%21%21%210000.JPG>  
.:4-05-02am.: <@StarNeptune> lol  
.:4-05-02am.: <@Azizlight> We really have to be careful of things like the Karen Traviss issue  
.:4-05-08am.: <@jSarek> Exactly. The laws of physics are probably no better than S-canon.  
.:4-05-10am.: <@StarNeptune> Bah  
.:4-05-11am.: <@Jaymach> I don't believe this is a fair place to discuss the slanted articles  
.:4-05-17am.: <JustinGann> ok  
.:4-05-18am.: <@Jaymach> both sides aren't being represented  
.:4-05-22am.: <DarkSpork> FFor instance, the fanfic entry could be bvery easily abused if you get someone who hates fanfic writing the whole thiung.  
.:4-05-24am.: <JustinGann> ok  
.:4-05-44am.: <@jSarek> Consensus track?  
.:4-05-49am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> good point  
.:4-05-55am.: <@MarcK> doesn't seem like a CT-able issue  
.:4-05-58am.: <@StarNeptune> A set of rules for admins to follow would be nice  
.:4-06-00am.: <@Jaymach> nope, it doesn't  
.:4-06-04am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> Traviss grr :(  
.:4-06-11am.: <JustinGann> down boy  
.:4-06-16am.: <@StarNeptune> As it is now, it's pretty much a free-for-all  
.:4-06-17am.: \* @MarcK echoes Tremayne



.:4-06-23am.: <RMF|> Star: yes  
.:4-06-26am.: <DarkSpork> Sorry to bring it up. :(  
.:4-06-32am.: <DarkSpork> First thing that came to mind.  
.:4-06-34am.: <RMF|> free-for-all is exactly what it is  
.:4-06-42am.: <@SillyDan> Our first topic (rules for banning) are a good start  
.:4-06-49am.: <@Azizlight> yeah  
.:4-06-51am.: \* RMF| nods  
.:4-06-59am.: <JustinGann> rules for banning  
.:4-07-08am.: <JustinGann> would that be an appendix to MoS  
.:4-07-10am.: <@Jaymach> so...uh...have we actually covered all the meeting agenda's?  
.:4-07-11am.: <@Azizlight> and guides for ban durations  
.:4-07-12am.: <RMF|> no  
.:4-07-22am.: <@StarNeptune> I beleive MarcK has a idea  
.:4-07-24am.: <RMF|> rules for banning would be a separate page  
.:4-07-25am.: <@jSarek> Justin had something.  
.:4-07-27am.: <@Jaymach> I know :P  
.:4-07-27am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> Need to go back and read that  
.:4-07-31am.: <RMF|> it has no relation to the MoS  
.:4-07-44am.: <@Jaymach> but it wasn't in the agenda...I'm just asking if we've covered all of those so we can open the floor  
.:4-07-50am.: <@MarcK> maybe a Wookieepedia:Administrator's guide  
.:4-07-51am.: <@StarNeptune> Yes  
.:4-07-59am.: <@StarNeptune> That would be good  
.:4-08-05am.: <JustinGann> open the floor? does that mean we all fall in the rancor pit  
.:4-08-12am.: <@Jaymach> 'cept me  
.:4-08-17am.: <@Jaymach> I get to be the Hutt  
.:4-08-18am.: <JustinGann> aw nuts  
.:4-08-20am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> And are subsequently eaten?  
.:4-08-22am.: <JustinGann> thats no funn  
.:4-08-30am.: <JustinGann> i wanna be eaten by a mongoose  
.:4-08-32am.: <@Jaymach> fun for me :) but shall we open the floor?  
.:4-08-37am.: <@SillyDan> sure  
.:4-08-38am.: <@StarNeptune> Go for it  
.:4-08-48am.: <@Azizlight> I'm not sure if this has been done, but all the admin tools need to be all in the one place... they can be hard to find when you need them  
.:4-08-50am.: <@Jaymach> MarcK, Justin; you had topics?  
.:4-08-58am.: <JustinGann> categories  
.:4-09-00am.: <@jSarek> That's okay, we've got StarNeptune with us . . . oh, wait, tyhat's right, she's a Hapan, not a Dathomiri. Damn. We're doomed.  
.:4-09-05am.: <RMF|> Aziz: Specialpages?  
.:4-09-10am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> Was a standard for Consensus already covered?  
.:4-09-20am.: <@StarNeptune> lol  
.:4-09-39am.: <@Azizlight> yeah  
.:4-09-48am.: <@Jaymach> Justin was really first :P but no, it's not been, and we can discuss it after  
.:4-09-51am.: <@Jaymach> but Justin? :P  
.:4-09-52am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> alright then, that's what I get for being late

.:4-10-05am:. <JustinGann> ok, first of all, a while back someone started adding "Humans" as a category

.:4-10-21am:. <@Azizlight> i can live with that

.:4-10-25am:. <JustinGann> plz tell me im not the only one who wants to go thru all our character articles and add the humans category

.:4-10-31am:. <JustinGann> that'd take forever

.:4-10-32am:. <@SillyDan> I don't

.:4-10-41am:. <+LtNOWIS> I think it's a mistake to have Corellians and such be a subset of Humans

.:4-10-42am:. <@Jaymach> I think it's only fair that we have that category

.:4-10-43am:. <@StarNeptune> Hell, I had a hell of a time with the planets

.:4-10-48am:. <@SillyDan> I thought that cat. should only be used to hold subcats of humans

.:4-10-48am:. <@Jaymach> we have a category for other alien species

.:4-10-50am:. <@StarNeptune> you think I wanna do that with chars?

.:4-10-58am:. <@Kuralyov> I do it every now and then when I'm editing a human character that doesn't already have it

.:4-11-05am:. <@Jaymach> and I add it to any characters I know for sure are Humans

.:4-11-10am:. <Cull\_Tremayne> yes, just add the subcats

.:4-11-13am:. <@MarcK> I agree with LtNOWIS; Corellians aren't necessarily Humans

.:4-11-18am:. <@jSarek> Well, I think "Humans" should also include humans whose planetary origin hasn't been determined, too.

.:4-11-23am:. <@MarcK> nor Tarisians, like Mission Vao

.:4-11-30am:. <@Sikon> Corellians shouldn't be a subcategory of Humans

.:4-11-37am:. <+LtNOWIS> Location and species are 2 different things

.:4-11-38am:. <@Jaymach> Individuals by Planet is seperate from Individuals by Species

.:4-11-40am:. <JustinGann> well Coruscanti is above species

.:4-11-53am:. <@Sikon> neither should Naboo, even

.:4-12-00am:. <Cull\_Tremayne> whoops forgot about that (place of origin not determining species)

.:4-12-01am:. <JustinGann> i for one decry the category Humans and refuse to participate in it

.:4-12-10am:. \* Joins: EAGLES610 (n=44557ecf@box6.tpa.wikia-inc.com)

.:4-12-11am:. <@Sikon> decry?

.:4-12-12am:. <@Jaymach> why so?

.:4-12-17am:. <JustinGann> but Naboo is used just for the humans, as opposed to the Gungans

.:4-12-19am:. <@Azizlight> lol

.:4-12-19am:. <@Jaymach> we're meant to be an In-Universe encyclopedia

.:4-12-30am:. <JustinGann> Boss Nass uses the term exclusive of his people

.:4-12-31am:. <@Jaymach> Humans are just as alien as any other aliens

.:4-12-37am:. <@jSarek> I think all of those should be subcats of humans. Might be a bit speciesist, but it's consistent with usage in Star Wars.

.:4-12-39am:. <JustinGann> so Naboo should be subcat of humans

.:4-12-43am:. <@Jaymach> no

.:4-12-57am:. <@Jaymach> Naboo is an example of Individuals by Planet

.:4-12-57am:. <JustinGann> ok how detailed is too detailed

.:4-13-13am.: <@jSarek> The Naboo are humans; like JG says, the Gungans use it exclusive of themselves, even though they're all from Naboo.

.:4-13-19am.: <JustinGann> you think Gungans should go in the Naboo category?

.:4-13-22am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> that's another thing, the whole BLANK was an alien from the planet SOMETHING OR OTHER

.:4-13-28am.: <@Jaymach> I believe they should, yes

.:4-13-30am.: <JustinGann> even though Nass says "Wesa no like da Naboo"

.:4-13-39am.: <JustinGann> Cull: elucidate

.:4-13-43am.: <+LtNOWIS> Bakurans is under species, even though it's all humans...

.:4-13-52am.: <@MarcK> "alien"'s the problem I think

.:4-13-53am.: <@Sikon> I think both Human Naboo ang Gungans should go to the Naboo category

.:4-13-54am.: <JustinGann> no, Kurtzens are aliens

.:4-13-54am.: \* Quits: EAGLES610 (n=44557ecf@box6.tpa.wikia-inc.com) (Read error: 104 (Connection reset by peer))

.:4-14-09am.: <@StarNeptune> Then ther'e the near-humans like Hapans and Lorridans

.:4-14-10am.: <JustinGann> ok well im not gonna argue it further, ill leave it to the majority

.:4-14-19am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> Just seems OOU to say "was an alien" since everyone in the SW universe is alien, and it appears in too many "alien" articles

.:4-14-21am.: <JustinGann> Star: plz dont bring that up!

.:4-14-27am.: <@Sikon> true

.:4-14-30am.: <@Azizlight> Gotta go... bye all

.:4-14-36am.: <+LtNOWIS> Alien is an IU term for non-humans

.:4-14-37am.: <@jSarek> Bye Aziz.

.:4-14-40am.: <JustinGann> but IU, people use "alien" to mean "non-human"

.:4-14-41am.: <@Kuralyov> See ya

.:4-14-42am.: <@Jaymach> cya Aziz

.:4-14-42am.: <@Sikon> I, for one, was always for the elimination of the word "alien"

.:4-14-50am.: <@jSarek> Alein, in-universe, is regularly used to describe nonhuman species.

.:4-14-56am.: <JustinGann> exactly

.:4-14-58am.: <@MarcK> byeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee Mr. Light

.:4-15-03am.: <+LtNOWIS> bye Aziz

.:4-15-03am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> but isn't that only for partakers in High Human Culture and the like?

.:4-15-04am.: <@SillyDan> bye Aziz!

.:4-15-10am.: <JustinGann> i dunno

.:4-15-14am.: <RMF|> bye

.:4-15-17am.: <JustinGann> i think main characters use it all the time

.:4-15-22am.: <@jSarek> I'm pretty sure Leia and Luke have used th term.

.:4-15-23am.: \* Quits: @Azizlight (n=3ce58263@box6.tpa.wikia-inc.com) (Remote closed the connection)

.:4-15-29am.: <+LtNOWIS> It's part of their language

.:4-15-34am.: <@Jaymach> Borsk had a great quote in relation to that

.:4-15-42am.: <+LtNOWIS> Which doesn't mean it's not speciesist.

.:4-15-53am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> technically though, Alien is more akin to outsider than non-human

.:4-15-58am.: <@jSarek> During their Chief of State / Jedi Master tenures, even.

.:4-16-05am.: <+LtNOWIS> "You refer to us as 'alien,' and the Princess calls us 'non-human.' Why are we defined by you and in comparison to you?"

.:4-16-05am.: <+LtNOWIS> â€ Borsk Fey'lya, 7 ABY

.:4-16-13am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> and it sort of gives a Human bias (take that how you want too)

.:4-16-13am.: <@Jaymach> that's the one

.:4-16-15am.: <JustinGann> its an IU encyclopediia--written by speciest humans

.:4-16-28am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> should it be though?

.:4-16-29am.: <@SillyDan> it's like "visible minority"

.:4-16-31am.: <JustinGann> bc humans rule!

.:4-16-36am.: <+LtNOWIS> Indeed we do

.:4-16-39am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> point taken

.:4-16-59am.: <@Jaymach> I dislike Humans, on principle

.:4-17-05am.: <JustinGann> does that mean we should forsake the term "humanoid"

.:4-17-12am.: <@jSarek> Gotta close down, brb

.:4-17-14am.: <@Jaymach> we're the worst species I've learned about

.:4-17-14am.: <@Sikon> uhm, no

.:4-17-17am.: <JustinGann> couldn't they be Bithoid

.:4-17-18am.: <+LtNOWIS> I'm pretty Humanocentric, in RL.

.:4-17-21am.: <@Sikon> worst?

.:4-17-22am.: \* Quits: @jSarek (n=421be9cb@box6.tpa.wikia-inc.com) (Remote closed the connection)

.:4-17-35am.: <@Sikon> besides, SW Humans aren't "we"

.:4-17-39am.: <JustinGann> i also hate humans in SW

.:4-17-44am.: <@Kuralyov> I'm heading out, my eyes are killing me and this is making my connection lag like hell

.:4-17-45am.: <JustinGann> but thats just how it is

.:4-17-51am.: <@Sikon> they evolved independently

.:4-17-57am.: \* Joins: jSarek (n=421be9cb@box6.tpa.wikia-inc.com)

.:4-18-03am.: \* Quits: @Kuralyov (n=44097211@box6.tpa.wikia-inc.com) (Remote closed the connection)

.:4-18-03am.: <jSarek> Back.

.:4-18-03am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> cya Kuralyov

.:4-18-05am.: <+LtNOWIS> that's slightly debatable, actually

.:4-18-05am.: <@Jaymach> righto :) we may want to get onto MarcK's point then before all the admins are gone :P

.:4-18-08am.: <RMF|> 'night Kuralyov

.:4-18-09am.: <@SillyDan> no, they arrived on the Oort Raider

.:4-18-15am.: <@Sikon> ...

.:4-18-26am.: <jSarek> What point is that?

.:4-18-28am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> Alien Exodus

.:4-18-31am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> pfft!

.:4-18-34am.: <@Sikon> I still stick to the "homeworld = Coruscant" theory

.:4-18-36am.: <@MarcK> this human thing sounds like a perfect CT topic

.:4-18-38am.: <@Jaymach> I liked Alien Exodus

.:4-18-46am.: <JustinGann> i stick to homeworld=Notron theory

.:4-18-52am.: <@Sikon> well,we already decided to capitalize them

.:4-18-52am.: <+LtNOWIS> SD.net-types will sometimes tell you independent evolution is less feasible.

.:4-18-58am.: <+LtNOWIS> But I'm cool either way

.:4-19-00am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> I wonder if Starcraft took the idea from there  
.:4-19-01am.: <@Jaymach> but yes...MarcK: did you have something you'd like to bring up?  
.:4-19-07am.: <@MarcK> yes  
.:4-19-17am.: <@Sikon> Starcraft takes place in our galaxy, I believe  
.:4-19-22am.: <@MarcK> it concerns featured articles, as is usually the case with me  
.:4-19-32am.: <@Jaymach> elaborate :)  
.:4-19-36am.: <JustinGann> ;)  
.:4-19-38am.: <whop> :)  
.:4-19-45am.: <@Sikon> just one final question  
.:4-19-49am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> right, but the idea of huge transport ships transporting humans in stasis  
.:4-19-51am.: <@Sikon> about species  
.:4-20-01am.: <JustinGann> ok  
.:4-20-03am.: <+LtNOWIS> shoot  
.:4-20-03am.: <@Sikon> meh, it's common  
.:4-20-09am.: <JustinGann> out with it  
.:4-20-14am.: <@Sikon> what is the second most common species in SW sources?  
.:4-20-17am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> you're right  
.:4-20-24am.: <@MarcK> Twi'lek probably  
.:4-20-27am.: <@StarNeptune> Twi'leks  
.:4-20-31am.: <JustinGann> you mean the most used by writers, or the most common in galaxy  
.:4-20-36am.: <JustinGann> OU or IU  
.:4-20-39am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> humanoids  
.:4-21-02am.: \* @Jaymach coughs and points to MarcK  
.:4-21-09am.: <@MarcK> right  
.:4-21-12am.: <jSarek> Twi'leks are probably the right answer both IU and OOU.  
.:4-21-13am.: <@MarcK> I was thinking maybe the voting process could be more akin to VFD, where we wait until a consensus is reached to make it featured  
.:4-21-18am.: <+LtNOWIS> Maybe wookiee?  
.:4-21-22am.: <@MarcK> that's how it is on Wikipedia  
.:4-21-30am.: <@Jaymach> uh...that's actually how it is done now, no  
.:4-21-31am.: <@Jaymach> ?  
.:4-21-33am.: <@SillyDan> MarcK: isn't that what we're doing?  
.:4-21-39am.: <@MarcK> not really  
.:4-21-45am.: <JustinGann> Wookiees and Twi'leks and Mon Cals  
.:4-21-48am.: <jSarek> Wookiees are ostensibly fairly rare and unknown IU.  
.:4-21-57am.: <JustinGann> i meant OU  
.:4-21-58am.: <+LtNOWIS> yeah, but OOU  
[http://starwars.wikia.com/wiki/List\\_of\\_Wookiee\\_appearances](http://starwars.wikia.com/wiki/List_of_Wookiee_appearances)  
.:4-22-05am.: <@MarcK> the way it is, a single objection halts the entire process  
.:4-22-09am.: <JustinGann> every other character has a Wook life debt  
.:4-22-12am.: <JustinGann> a Twilek gf  
.:4-22-15am.: <@SillyDan> ah, I see what you mean  
.:4-22-16am.: <JustinGann> a Mon Cal pilot  
.:4-22-22am.: <JustinGann> and a Rodian who wants them dead  
.:4-22-29am.: <RMF|> heh  
.:4-22-32am.: <@Jaymach> so you mean a group of people could veto an objection?  
.:4-22-43am.: <@StarNeptune> I think he's referring to a certain someone

.:4-22-49am.: <@SillyDan> Oh, right  
.:4-22-50am.: <@StarNeptune> who objects to everything  
.:4-22-57am.: <RMF|> the 'behind-the-scenes- guy?  
.:4-23-01am.: <@StarNeptune> yeah  
.:4-23-02am.: <@MarcK> UVnet  
.:4-23-02am.: <+LtNOWIS> UVnet  
.:4-23-02am.: <@Jaymach> well we already ignore UVnet  
.:4-23-03am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> How is it that every Wookiee gets involved in a life debt?  
.:4-23-08am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> Not very careful guys  
.:4-23-15am.: <jSarek> brb phone  
.:4-23-18am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> (Wookiee's that is)  
.:4-23-32am.: <@Jaymach> so what's the difference to our system then MarcK?  
.:4-23-40am.: <@SillyDan> Maybe a certain number of editors could object to an objection?  
.:4-23-53am.: <@StarNeptune> I say 3  
.:4-23-56am.: <@StarNeptune> maybe 2  
.:4-23-59am.: <@SillyDan> sure  
.:4-24-03am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> How bout a ratio?  
.:4-24-08am.: <@Jaymach> hmmm  
.:4-24-08am.: <@MarcK> I think the numbers thing should be thrown out  
.:4-24-10am.: <@Jaymach> I see a problem  
.:4-24-18am.: <RMF|> or we could just work it VfD-style, like he said  
.:4-24-21am.: <@Jaymach> fanboys would be able to veto objections  
.:4-24-24am.: <RMF|> wait for consensus  
.:4-24-35am.: <@MarcK> no strict numbers or requirements, just general consensus  
.:4-24-41am.: <JustinGann> i think Wookiees deliberately get life debts to get employed  
.:4-24-45am.: <RMF|> there's one objection? that's too bad, there are 15 support votes  
.:4-24-51am.: <@StarNeptune> right now it's 5 votes and no objections  
.:4-24-54am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> and for the free food  
.:4-25-13am.: <JustinGann> im really not sure what the topic is right now  
.:4-25-20am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> but how do we know when Consensus is reached?  
Who steps in?  
.:4-25-23am.: <RMF|> it's about featured article nomination  
.:4-25-25am.: <+LtNOWIS> Featured Article Objections, I think  
.:4-25-25am.: <@MarcK> changing the featured article system  
.:4-25-27am.: <@Jaymach> hmmm...I dislike that system MarcK...purely because I forsee fanboys making many things featured  
.:4-25-27am.: <RMF|> and selection  
.:4-25-38am.: <JustinGann> ah i dont mess with that  
.:4-25-45am.: <@Jaymach> I prefer the objection system based on specific guidelines  
.:4-25-46am.: \* @StarNeptune coughs \*AAYLA SECURA\*  
.:4-25-55am.: <@MarcK> works fine on Wikipedia  
.:4-26-02am.: <@MarcK> admittedly there aren't really fanboys for...  
.:4-26-04am.: <@MarcK> \*checks main page\*  
.:4-26-08am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> \*cough\* \*bellybutton pic\* \*cough\*  
.:4-26-14am.: <@MarcK> Sanssouci o\_O  
.:4-26-17am.: <+LtNOWIS> On Wiki, featured articles are purged of any fixable objections.

.:4-26-18am:. <@MarcK> but still  
.:4-26-24am:. <@Jaymach> Wikipedia is an entirely different matter  
.:4-26-24am:. <@SillyDan> yesterday it was Chinese prostitution....  
.:4-26-39am:. <RMF|> if the fanboys nominate something of less-than-FA quality, what would prevent us from objecting?  
.:4-26-42am:. <Cull\_Tremayne> And it was Perfect Dark earlier  
.:4-26-54am:. <RMF|> (under MarcK's system)  
.:4-27-10am:. <@Jaymach> we could object under MarcK's system, but could be overruled by fanboys  
.:4-27-18am:. <@MarcK> not necessarily  
.:4-27-22am:. <@Jaymach> no?  
.:4-27-23am:. <jSarek> Now, I \*know\* there are fanboys of Chinese prostitution . . . ;-)  
.:4-27-35am:. <Cull\_Tremayne> Since the whole thing depends on the Admin's changing the front page  
.:4-27-42am:. <@MarcK> the admins could come on and simply use common sense of whether this is pure fanboyism  
.:4-27-42am:. <+LtNOWIS> I think they featured Bulbasaur over there.  
.:4-27-53am:. <@StarNeptune> That's usually marc or I doing that anyway  
.:4-27-59am:. <@Jaymach> but that gives us too much power  
.:4-28-10am:. <@Jaymach> I know we should have power and all  
.:4-28-20am:. <@Jaymach> but we could arbitrarily decide we thought something was fanboyism  
.:4-28-33am:. <@MarcK> I don't know of any admin that's corrupt  
.:4-28-42am:. <JustinGann> Jaymach is corrupt!  
.:4-28-44am:. <JustinGann> hehe  
.:4-28-45am:. <@MarcK> if they were corrupt they likely wouldn't have gotten the position in the first place  
.:4-28-47am:. <RMF|> I don't think the fanboy issue is that big of a deal  
.:4-28-47am:. <@StarNeptune> lol  
.:4-28-50am:. <@Jaymach> it's not so much corrupt  
.:4-28-50am:. <RMF|> and yes he is :P  
.:4-28-58am:. <JustinGann> [passes Jaymach 5 pounds under table]  
.:4-28-59am:. <jSarek> :::Sighs::: brb phone again  
.:4-29-02am:. <@Jaymach> so much as that maybe an admin really didn't like an article  
.:4-29-03am:. <+LtNOWIS> yeah, there aren't that many blind fanboys.  
.:4-29-14am:. <@Jaymach> they could stop it from ever being FA  
.:4-29-19am:. <@SillyDan> esp. if there are guidelines for counter-objections  
.:4-29-25am:. <@Jaymach> whereas with the new system, FA's have to be FA-worthy  
.:4-29-30am:. <@StarNeptune> It's only if someone with the screen name "DathVader Rules" nomniates Anakin Skywalker or something  
.:4-29-34am:. <@Jaymach> \*with the current system, sorry  
.:4-29-36am:. <@StarNeptune> Darth Vader\*  
.:4-30-18am:. <@StarNeptune> There's been some nominations lately that are suspect  
.:4-30-23am:. <@MarcK> I really don't see fanboy voting being a problem in any case  
.:4-31-04am:. <@Jaymach> tbh, I mostly don't want to move away from the system that was decided upon in the first Wookieepedia meeting  
.:4-31-13am:. <Cull\_Tremayne> Like the TotJ characters hmm?  
.:4-31-15am:. <@Jaymach> we used to have the kind of system you're talking of

.:4-31-17am:. <RMF|> neither do I, MarcK  
.:4-31-22am:. <@Jaymach> but we chose to move away from it  
.:4-31-27am:. <@MarcK> those are good articles!  
.:4-31-41am:. <@MarcK> Ulic, Exar, Naga, all good articles  
.:4-31-50am:. <Cull\_Tremayne> Just joking, though I was surprised how quick Naga made the queue  
.:4-31-57am:. <@StarNeptune> Are you guys talking about reverting back to the old system?  
.:4-32-02am:. <@StarNeptune> Because that system blew  
.:4-32-07am:. <@MarcK> no no no  
.:4-32-13am:. <+LtNOWIS> which was the old system?  
.:4-32-14am:. <@MarcK> ..erm, what was the old system anyway?  
.:4-32-24am:. <@StarNeptune> Anyone nominated anything they wanted  
.:4-32-24am:. <@Jaymach> the old system was what you're refering to MarcK  
.:4-32-32am:. <Cull\_Tremayne> Wasn't the old system Riff and WhiteBoy essentially choosing articles?  
.:4-32-39am:. <JustinGann> ha  
.:4-32-40am:. <@MarcK> that was at first  
.:4-32-46am:. <@StarNeptune> and the one with the most votes was nominated the next week  
.:4-32-54am:. <@StarNeptune> Kinda like how the I drive is now  
.:4-32-58am:. <@MarcK> ah right  
.:4-33-00am:. <+LtNOWIS> oh yeah...  
.:4-33-03am:. <@MarcK> no, that's not what I'm suggesting  
.:4-33-11am:. <@MarcK> my idea is basically identical to Wikipedia's current system  
.:4-33-53am:. <@StarNeptune> I'm not familiar with that  
.:4-34-02am:. <@MarcK>  
[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Featured\\_article\\_candidates/Sanssouci](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Featured_article_candidates/Sanssouci)  
.:4-34-03am:. <@StarNeptune> So I'll have to look it up  
.:4-34-09am:. <@Jaymach> Nomination procedure  
.:4-34-09am:. <@Jaymach> Check the featured article criteria and make sure the article meets all of them before nominating.  
.:4-34-09am:. <@Jaymach> Place `{{fac}}` on the talk page of the nominated article.  
.:4-34-09am:. <@Jaymach> From there, click on the "leave comments" link.  
.:4-34-09am:. <@Jaymach> If you are resubmitting an article, use the Move button to archive the previous discussion. For example, [Wikipedia:Featured article candidates/Television ? Wikipedia:Featured article candidates/Television/archive1](#)  
.:4-34-09am:. <@Jaymach> Place `===[[name of nominated article]]===` at the top.  
.:4-34-09am:. <@Jaymach> Below it, write your reason for nominating the article.  
.:4-34-09am:. <@Jaymach> Copy this text: `{{ Wikipedia:Featured article candidates/name of nominated article }}`, then edit this page, pasting the template at the top. Replace "name of..." with the name of your nomination.  
.:4-34-27am:. <@MarcK> similar to our VFD  
.:4-34-36am:. <@Jaymach>  
[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Featured\\_article\\_candidates](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Featured_article_candidates)  
.:4-34-41am:. <@StarNeptune> Ah  
.:4-34-57am:. <@Jaymach> I really can't see a difference from our old system  
.:4-35-00am:. <@StarNeptune> Like the "Support", "Object", "Neutral", etc  
.:4-35-07am:. <Cull\_Tremayne> me also



.:4-35-12am.: <@MarcK> the people discuss the article's quality until a consensus is reached concerning whether it should be featured or not

.:4-35-17am.: <@StarNeptune> It seems to be the same

.:4-35-24am.: <RMF|> why is this system bad?

.:4-35-31am.: <@StarNeptune> except our layout is a little different

.:4-35-42am.: <@Jaymach> RMF: which do you mean by "this"?

.:4-35-57am.: <RMF|> Marck's

.:4-36-24am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> Isn't that essentially what we do know? albeit with UV jumping in to mention that that there's no BTS

.:4-36-24am.: <@Jaymach> I dislike it because I think the current system is superior

.:4-36-39am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> \*albeit

.:4-36-50am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> \*now sheesh

.:4-37-02am.: <@MarcK> fair enough if nobody supports it

.:4-37-12am.: <RMF|> Marck: I support, but I think I'm the only one

.:4-37-47am.: <@StarNeptune> I'm just confused as to how it's different to our current system except for the layout

.:4-37-50am.: <@Jaymach> you could put it to a CT if you feel strongly about it

.:4-37-58am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> me too

.:4-38-02am.: <@MarcK> I think the current system is too similar to peer review

.:4-38-04am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> What is the difference

.:4-38-16am.: <+LtNOWIS> I guess on Wiki they work harder to address objections.

.:4-38-19am.: <@MarcK> and it kind of makes you question the point of peer review

.:4-38-21am.: <@Jaymach> it's kinda meant to be a peer review :P

.:4-38-37am.: <@MarcK> [http://starwars.wikia.com/wiki/Wookieepedia:Peer\\_review](http://starwars.wikia.com/wiki/Wookieepedia:Peer_review)

.:4-38-37am.: <@Jaymach> people say why they don't think the article is FA-worthy so that it can be changed to become an FA

.:4-38-45am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> Better move Adalric over there then, since no one has commented

.:4-38-55am.: <@Jaymach> rather than people simply objecting for no reason

.:4-39-18am.: <@Jaymach> I like the Adalric article :P you need to work on Jaalib now so I can add cut content to him

.:4-39-40am.: <@Jaymach> oh and I'm getting Patricia Jackson to review the page, FYI

.:4-40-17am.: <+LtNOWIS> Off topic: We have an article for each month.

.:4-40-46am.: <@MarcK> ...wtf?

.:4-40-48am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> Yeah, Jaalib will be tricky though, there's quite a lot in Betrayal by Knight

.:4-40-56am.: <+LtNOWIS> <http://starwars.wikia.com/wiki/July>

.:4-41-12am.: <@MarcK> that seems...a bit silly

.:4-41-30am.: <+LtNOWIS> well, September has all of the days listed as well.

.:4-41-37am.: <@Jaymach> so...uh...is there anything we need to discuss in the meeting anymore?

.:4-41-40am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> agree

.:4-41-43am.: <@Jaymach> or should we call this to a close?

.:4-42-11am.: <@MarcK> :\ I had another idea earlier but I can't remember what it is

.:4-42-15am.: <@MarcK> oh right

.:4-42-17am.: <@MarcK> userboxes

.:4-42-20am.: <@MarcK> something must be done

.:4-42-25am.: <@Jaymach> O.o

.:4-42-26am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> do away with em

.:4-42-33am.: <@Jaymach> we already have a system for that  
.:4-42-41am.: <@Jaymach> or do you mean hardcoded userboxes?  
.:4-42-43am.: <@SillyDan> covered by the user image policy now, isn't it?  
.:4-42-44am.: <@MarcK> I direct you to Mike Kazz, Darth Steves and MaulYoda's user pages  
.:4-42-49am.: <@SillyDan> sort of  
.:4-43-01am.: <@MarcK> "This user thinks the Death Star's trash compactor sucks"  
.:4-43-11am.: <@MarcK> "This user thinks Gungan's ears are flappy"  
.:4-43-11am.: <@StarNeptune> lol  
.:4-43-15am.: <@SillyDan> if they had to get rid of the images on their page....  
.:4-43-22am.: <@Jaymach> they can have all that crap on their page if they like...they're just not allowed to make them into templates  
.:4-43-28am.: <RMF|> I don't like them, but I don't think there's much we can do  
.:4-43-31am.: <@Jaymach> but yeah, provided they don't use images  
.:4-43-33am.: <@StarNeptune> As long as they hard code them, I don't give a toss  
.:4-43-39am.: <@MarcK> bah  
.:4-43-42am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> And there should be a ban on how much SW information you know. Just counter productive. I can just see people saying, you're not a SW-3! You're more like a SW-2. Disgusting  
.:4-43-46am.: <@StarNeptune> If they make templates of that crap, I delete them on site  
.:4-43-50am.: <@Jaymach> I just close user pages with a lot of boxes  
.:4-43-50am.: <JustinGann> Brandls rule!  
.:4-43-54am.: <@MarcK> damn freedom strikes again  
.:4-44-19am.: <@MarcK> alright, nevermind  
.:4-44-26am.: <@MarcK> I suppose that's an example of admin abuse on my part  
.:4-44-31am.: <RMF|> lol  
.:4-44-34am.: <@StarNeptune> lol  
.:4-44-42am.: <@Jaymach> so...any other issues?  
.:4-44-43am.: <JustinGann> can i ask how specific we should be with cats  
.:4-44-52am.: <JustinGann> i mean, there used to be military officers  
.:4-44-56am.: <JustinGann> then Imperial officers  
.:4-44-58am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> yes how specific?  
.:4-45-04am.: <JustinGann> how far do we break up  
.:4-45-10am.: <@Jaymach> as specific as possible, IMO  
.:4-45-11am.: <JustinGann> Imperial naval lts jg  
.:4-45-16am.: <@MarcK> oh, categories  
.:4-45-19am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> Imperials with brown hair?  
.:4-45-22am.: <@MarcK> I thought you meant, like...  
.:4-45-23am.: <@MarcK> cats  
.:4-45-25am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> Imperials with British accents?  
.:4-45-29am.: <JustinGann> haha  
.:4-45-30am.: <@SillyDan> Imperials with cats  
.:4-45-36am.: <JustinGann> but sometimes i dont know what to put  
.:4-45-41am.: <DarkSpork> Imperials with hats  
.:4-45-41am.: <+LtNOWIS> It's "Imp Starfleet people" now  
.:4-45-42am.: <JustinGann> the categories are always changing  
.:4-45-50am.: <@MarcK> all Imperials have hats  
.:4-45-55am.: <JustinGann> not Tagge

.:4-45-57am.: <+LtNOWIS> I usually just find a similar person and do their categories.

.:4-45-59am.: <JustinGann> or Motti

.:4-46-03am.: <DarkSpork> or Thrawn

.:4-46-16am.: <+LtNOWIS> Or Daala

.:4-46-21am.: <JustinGann> what about animals

.:4-46-34am.: \* @Jaymach coughs

.:4-46-35am.: <JustinGann> how do we subcat those, seeing how earth categories dont really apply

.:4-46-38am.: <@MarcK> did Tarkin have a hat?

.:4-46-41am.: <JustinGann> no

.:4-46-46am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> probably as a young guy

.:4-46-46am.: <DarkSpork> and helmets are an entirely different category.

.:4-46-52am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> true

.:4-46-53am.: <+LtNOWIS> I think we have an individual animals cat.

.:4-46-53am.: <JustinGann> go watch ROTS, no hat

.:4-47-04am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> Imperials with Helmets that have black visors

.:4-47-07am.: <JustinGann> named animals IIRC

.:4-47-08am.: <jSarek> "Did Xim have a hat?" "Actually, he had a -"

.:4-47-10am.: <@MarcK> I can't believe we're discussing the practicality and specifics of a hat category

.:4-47-21am.: <JustinGann> Xim had a voot cruiser

.:4-47-24am.: <jSarek> Anyway, turns out my "brb" was actually a "I need to go," so I'm getting going.

.:4-47-26am.: <DarkSpork> I( want a hat.

.:4-47-29am.: <@Jaymach> unless we can get back on topic, I believe the meeting is at an end

.:4-47-38am.: <jSarek> Talk to y'all later. :-)

.:4-47-39am.: <JustinGann> haha

.:4-47-41am.: \* DarkSpork searches for topic.

.:4-47-45am.: <DarkSpork> Topic!

.:4-47-49am.: <@SillyDan> I bid you all Dark Farewells! bwa ha ha

.:4-47-52am.: <JustinGann> ummmm

.:4-47-53am.: <jSarek> Take care all. LLaP. :::Walks quietly into the night:::

.:4-47-58am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> I thought Dan said Imperials with cats anyway, not hats

.:4-48-01am.: <@MarcK> LLaP?

.:4-48-06am.: <JustinGann> categories was the topic

.:4-48-28am.: <JustinGann> should there be a voting procedure to make new categories

.:4-48-29am.: \* Quits: jSarek (n=421be9cb@box6.tpa.wikia-inc.com) (Remote closed the connection)

.:4-48-31am.: <DarkSpork> one fish two fish, red fish blue fish.

.:4-48-33am.: <@MarcK> I think we should only sub..ize..categories if they get really crowded

.:4-48-59am.: <+LtNOWIS> There shouldn't be major cat. changes without discussion

.:4-49-03am.: <@SillyDan> right

.:4-49-05am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> Are there categories for different types of Twi'leks? Rutians etc.?

.:4-49-10am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> I guess I could just go check

.:4-49-12am.: <@MarcK> no  
.:4-49-14am.: <@Jaymach> not currently  
.:4-49-17am.: <@MarcK> at least I hope not  
.:4-49-18am.: <JustinGann> should there be a master page list for creatures  
.:4-49-31am.: <JustinGann> well only two Twilek types are named right now  
.:4-49-31am.: <Cull\_Tremayne> never mind then  
.:4-49-40am.: <@MarcK> oh good god KFan II's back  
.:4-49-51am.: <JustinGann> and some Twileks change skin color by artist (ie, Xiaan Amersu)  
.:4-49-55am.: <+LtNOWIS> Justin: Unsourced planet, newly created:  
[http://starwars.wikia.com/wiki/Pii\\_3](http://starwars.wikia.com/wiki/Pii_3)  
.:4-50-04am.: <JustinGann> haha  
.:4-50-26am.: <JustinGann> mmm i thought i already made those  
.:4-50-39am.: <JustinGann> ah i used Roman numerals  
.:4-50-52am.: <@MarcK> Pii IIII  
.:4-50-57am.: <@MarcK> III\*  
.:4-51-02am.: <@MarcK> too many I's for my tastes  
.:4-51-03am.: <@SillyDan> ok, I'm logging out  
.:4-51-13am.: <@SillyDan> good night all  
.:4-51-16am.: <@Jaymach> the meeting appears to be at and end I'm afraid